



SPP *Southwest Power Pool*

*System Impact Study
For Transmission Service
Requested By
Tenaska*

From AEPW to ERCOTN

*For a Reserved Amount Of 25MW
From 1/1/02
To 1/1/03*

SPP Transmission Planning

Table of Contents

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	3
2. INTRODUCTION.....	4
3. STUDY METHODOLOGY	5
A. DESCRIPTION.....	5
B. MODEL UPDATES.....	5
C. TRANSFER ANALYSIS.....	5
4. STUDY RESULTS	6
STUDY ANALYSIS RESULTS.....	6
TABLE 1 – CURRENT RESERVATIONS LIMITING SERVICE TO ERCOTN	6
APPENDIX A	7

1. Executive Summary

Tenaska has requested a system impact study for long-term Firm Point-to-Point transmission service from AEPW to ERCOTN. The period of the transaction is from 1/1/02 to 1/1/03. The request is for one reservation (#226348), totaling 25MW.

The principal objective of this study is to identify system problems and potential system modifications necessary to facilitate the additional 25MW transfer while maintaining system reliability. The analysis in this document shows that to accommodate an additional 25MW transfer the only upgrade required on the SPP transmission system is the ERCOT North DC Tie. The ERCOT North DC tie facility capacity is currently sold to customers who have rights to renew under Section 2.2.

SPP will send the customer a Facility Study agreement per the Tariff. The customer shall complete the agreement within the required time or the request will be deemed withdrawn. Engineering and construction of any new facilities or modifications will not start until after a transmission service agreement and/or construction agreement is in place and effected member companies receives the appropriate authorization to proceed from the SPP after they receive authorization from the transmission customer.

2. Introduction

Tenaska has requested an impact study for transmission service from AEPW control area with a sink of ERCOTN.

The principal objective of this study is to identify the restraints on the SPP Regional Tariff System that may limit the transfer to less than 25MW. This study includes a steady-state contingency analysis (PSS/E function ACCC) and Available Transfer Capability (ATC) analysis.

The steady-state analysis considers the impact of the 25MW transfer on transmission line loading and transmission bus voltages for outages of single and selected multiple transmission lines and transformers on the SPP system.

ATC analysis shows the amount of First Contingency Incremental Transfer Capabilities (FCITC) between the given study systems and what the limitations are, if any, for transferring up to 25MW.

3. Study Methodology

A. Description

Two analyses were conducted to determine the impact of the 25MW transfer on the SPP system. The first analysis was conducted to document the 25MW transfer impact on facilities assigned to previous transmission customers. The second analysis was conducted to determine any new facilities overloaded 25MW transfer.

The analyses were done using two steps. The first step was to study the steady-state analysis impact of the 25MW on SPP and Non-SPP facilities. The second step was to study Available Transfer Capability (ATC) of the facilities identified in the steady-state analysis impact.

The steady-state analysis was done to ensure current SPP Criteria and NERC Planning Standards requirements are fulfilled. The Southwest Power Pool (SPP) conforms to the NERC Planning Standards, which provide the strictest requirements, related to thermal overloads with a contingency. It requires that all facilities be within emergency ratings after a contingency. The ATC study portion was done using the requirements specified in the current SPP Criteria related to determination of ATC.

B. Model Updates

SPP used five seasonal models to study the 25MW request. The SPP 2000 Series Cases 2002 April (Spring Minimum), 2002 Spring Peak, 2002 Summer Peak, 2002 Fall Peak, and 2002/03 Winter Peak were used to study the impact of the 25MW transfer on the SPP system during the transaction period of 1/1/02 to 1/1/03.

Seasonal Case	2002 April	2001 Spring Peak	2002 Summer Peak	2002Fall	2002/2003 Winter
Abbreviation	01SP	01FA	01WP	02AP	02SR

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the most current modeling information. The cases were modified to reflect future firm transfers during the request period that were not already included in the January 2000 base case series models.

C. Transfer Analysis

Using the created models and the ACCC function of PSS\E, single and select double contingency outages were analyzed. Then full AC solution was used to obtain the most accurate results possible. Any facility overloaded, using MVA ratings, in the transfer case and not overloaded in the base case was flagged. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the Impact Study analysis can be found in Appendix A.

4. Study Results

SPP evaluated the request and determined that no facility overloads on the AC transmission system were found to limit the requested service for the study frame. However, long-term service customers have previously reserved the DC tie. The current reservations are shown in Table 1. In order for SPP to accommodate, the requested service additional DC tie capacity will have to be added.

Table 1 – Current Reservations Limiting Service to ERCOTN

Study Year	From-To Area(s)	Oasis Reservation Number	Begin Date	End Date	Amount	Comment
02AP	AEPW-ERCOTN	164672	04/01/00	04/01/01	172	Renewal of service has been requested and is currently pending.
02SP	AEPW-ERCOTN	"	04/01/00	04/01/01	172	"
02FA	AEPW-ERCOTN	"	04/01/00	04/01/01	172	"
02WP	AEPW-ERCOTN	"	04/01/00	04/01/01	172	"
02AP	AEPW-ERCOTN	209721	01/01/01	01/01/02	13	First Right of Refusal. Assume service for 1/01/02 through 1/01/03
02SP	AEPW-ERCOTN	"	01/01/01	01/01/02	13	"
02FA	AEPW-ERCOTN	"	01/01/01	01/01/02	13	"
02WP	AEPW-ERCOTN	"	01/01/01	01/01/02	13	"

Appendix A

PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

BASE CASES:

Solutions - Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution (FDNS)

1. Tap adjustment – Stepping
2. Area interchange control – Tie lines only
3. Var limits – Apply immediately
4. Solution options - Phase shift adjustment
 - Flat start
 - Lock DC taps
 - Lock switched shunts

ACCC CASES:

Solutions – AC contingency checking (ACCC)

1. MW mismatch tolerance –1.0
2. Contingency case rating – Rate B
3. Percent of rating – 100
4. Output code – Summary
5. Min flow change in overload report – 1mw
6. Excl'd cases w/ no overloads form report – YES
7. Exclude interfaces from report – NO
8. Perform voltage limit check – YES
9. Elements in available capacity table – 60000
10. Cutoff threshold for available capacity table – 99999.0
11. Min. contng. case Vltg chng for report – 0.02
12. Sorted output – None

Newton Solution:

1. Tap adjustment – Stepping
2. Area interchange control – Tie lines only
3. Var limits - Apply automatically
4. Solution options - Phase shift adjustment
 - Flat start
 - Lock DC taps
 - Lock switched shunts