

System Impact Study SPP-2024-052 For Transmission Service Requested By: TEA

# From CSWS.GATEWAY to ERCOTE

For a Reserved Amount Of 50 MW

From 05/24/2024 To 05/25/2024

> SPP IMPACT STUDY (SPP-2024-052) May 23, 2024 1 of 7

## 1. Executive Summary

TEA has requested a system impact study for daily firm transmission service from CSWS.GATEWAY to ERCOTE. The period of the transaction is from 05/24/2024 00:00 to 05/25/2024 00:00. The request is for reservation 102984403.

The 50 MW transaction from CSWS.GATEWAY has an impact on the following flowgate(s) with no AFC: SABSEMPIRDIA, FULPATLONSAR, LYDVALNWTVAL. To provide the AFC necessary for this transfer, the impact on these flowgate(s) must be relieved.

After studying many scenarios using generation redispatch, there are several feasible scenarios that will relieve the flowgate(s) in question.

## 2. Introduction

TEA has requested a system impact study for transmission service from CSWS.GATEWAY to ERCOTE.

There are three constrained flowgates that require relief for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgates and the explanations are as follows:

- SABSEMPIRDIA: Sabin Mining S.E. Marshall 138 kV for the loss of Pirkey Diana 345 kV.
- FULPATLONSAR: Fulton Patmos 115 kV for the loss of Longwood -Sarepta 345 kV.
- LYDVALNWTVAL: Lydia Valiant 345kV for the loss of Northwest Texarkana
  Valiant 345kV.

### 3. Study Methodology

#### A. Description

Southwest Power Pool used Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA) to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. TARA calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units within the Southwest Power Pool Footprint. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

#### **B. Model Updates**

The 2024 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

#### C. Transfer Analysis

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA), specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by TARA is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

# 4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of the request, three flowgates require relief. The flowgates and associated amount of relief are as follows:

Table 1

		Sensitivity	Required Relief
Flowgate	Duration	(%)	(MW)
5212:SABSEMPIRDIA	5/24/2024 00:00 - 5/25/2024 00:00	17.93%	8.96
5426:FULPATLONSAR	5/24/2024 00:00 - 5/25/2024 00:00	5.16%	2.58
5658:LYDVALNWTVAL	5/24/2024 00:00 - 5/25/2024 00:00	20.12%	10.06

Table 2 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for each flowgate in question and the amount of redispatch capacity needed.

Table 2

5212:SABSEMPIRDIA				
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW	
L1G382 1	LEBROCG1	16.97%	52.79	
S1G439 1	LEBROCG1	16.97%	52.81	
WGCT3	LEBROCG1	16.96%	52.85	
L1G382 1	KNOXLEE4	11.40%	78.59	
S1G439 1	KNOXLEE4	11.40%	78.63	
WGCT3	KNOXLEE4	11.38%	78.71	
L1G382 1	ESTGAS1	11.20%	79.99	
S1G439 1	ESTGAS1	11.20%	80.03	

5426:FULPATLONSAR				
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW	
L1G382 1	ESTGAS1	4.31%	59.87	
WGCT3	ESTGAS1	4.27%	60.39	
L1G382 1	LEBROCG1	4.24%	60.89	
L1G382 1	KNOXLEE4	4.23%	60.99	
WGCT3	LEBROCG1	4.20%	61.43	
WGCT3	KNOXLEE4	4.19%	61.53	
S1G4391	ESTGAS1	4.16%	62.08	
S1G4391	KNOXLEE4	4.08%	63.28	

5658:LYDVALNWTVAL					
Increment	Decrement	Sensit	ivity MW	<i></i>	
S1G4391	LEBROCG1	36.	14% 27.8	34	
S1G4391	ESTGAS1	35.	98% 27.9	<del>)</del> 6	
S1G4391	KNOXLEF4	35.	67% 28.2	21	
WGCT3	LEBROCG1	35.:	30% 28.5	50	
L1G3821	LEBROCG1	35	30% 28.5	50	
WGCT3	ESTGAS1	35.	15% 28.6	52	
L1G382 1	ESTGAS1	35.	14% 28.6	53	
WGCT3	KNOXLEF4	34.	83% 28.8	38	
L1G382 1	KNOXLEE4	34.	83% 28.8	38	

# 5. Conclusion

Generation redispatch options were studied to relieve the necessary constraints. The results of this study show that the constraints on the flowgates in question could be relieved by executing one or more of the options described in the Study Results section of this document.