



# **SPP** *Southwest Power Pool*

***System Impact Study  
SPP-2023-046  
For Transmission Service  
Requested By:  
TEA***

***From CSWS to ERCOTE***

***For a Reserved Amount Of  
50 MW***

***From 06/19/2023  
To 07/01/2023***

## **1. Executive Summary**

TEA has requested a system impact study for weekly firm transmission service from CSWS to ERCOTE. The period of the transaction is from 06/19/2023 00:00 to 07/01/2023 00:00. The request is for reservation 99971360.

The 50 MW transaction from CSWS has an impact on the following flowgate(s) with no AFC: PSOSWEPCOTIE, PITVALSUNHUG, SWSANASWSWAS. To provide the AFC necessary for this transfer, the impact on these flowgates must be relieved.

After studying many scenarios using generation redispatch, there are several feasible scenarios that will relieve the flowgate(s) in question.

## **2. Introduction**

TEA has requested a system impact study for transmission service from CSWS to ERCOTE.

Three constrained flowgates require relief for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgates and the explanations are as follows:

- PSOSWEPCOTIE: PSO – SWEPCO Tie.
- PITVALSUNHUG: Pittsburg - Valiant 345kv for the loss of Sunnyside - Hugo 345kV.
- SWSANASWSWAS: Southwestern Station – Anadarko 138 kV for the loss of Southwestern Station – Washita 138 kV.

### **3. Study Methodology**

#### **A. Description**

Southwest Power Pool used Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA) to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. TARA calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units within the Southwest Power Pool Footprint. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

#### **B. Model Updates**

The 2023 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

#### **C. Transfer Analysis**

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using TARA, specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by TARA is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

## 4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of the request, three flowgates require relief. The flowgates and associated amount of relief are as follows:

**Table 1**

Flowgate	Duration	Sensitivity (%)	Required Relief (MW)
5578:PSOSWPCOTIE	6/19/2023 00:00 - 7/1/2023 00:00	55.44%	27.72
5661:PITVALSUNHUG	6/20/2023 00:00 - 6/29/2023 00:00	30.60%	15.3
5714:SWSANASWSWAS	6/19/2023 00:00 - 7/1/2023 00:00	4.47%	2.24

Table 2 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for each flowgate in question and the amount of redispatch capacity needed.

**Table 2**

5578:PSOSWPCOTIE			
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW
LIEBR3-1	SWS3-1	77.22%	35.90
LIEBR3-1	JONES1	75.75%	36.60
TENSTM 1	SWS3-1	69.18%	40.07
TENSTM 1	JONES1	67.71%	40.94

5661:PITVALSUNHUG			
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW
TENSTM 1	SWS3-1	35.84%	42.69
LIEBR3-1	SWS3-1	35.31%	43.33
TENSTM 1	JONES1	34.71%	44.08
LIEBR3-1	JONES1	34.18%	44.76

5714:SWSANASWSWAS			
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW
ORME2	SWS3-1	64.18%	3.49
ORME2	JONES1	26.36%	8.50

## **5. Conclusion**

Generation redispatch options were studied in order to relieve the necessary constraint(s). The results of this study shows that the constraints on the flowgate(s) in question could be relieved by executing one or more of the options described in the Study Results section of this document.