Southwest Power Pool

System Impact Study
SPP-2022-154
For Transmission Service
Requested By:
DYNP

From CSWS to ERCOTE

For a Reserved Amount Of
100 MW

From 12/21/2022
To 12/27/2022

1. Executive Summary

DYNP has requested a system impact study for daily firm transmission service from CSWS to ERCOTE. The period of the transaction is from 12/21/2022 00:00 to 12/27/2022 00:00. The request is for reservation 98549509.

The 100 MW transaction from CSWS has an impact on the following flowgate(s) with no AFC: PSOSWEPCOTIE, PITVALSUNHUG, HUGVALHUGVAL. To provide the AFC necessary for this transfer, the impact on these flowgates must be relieved.

After studying many scenarios using generation redispatch, there are several feasible scenarios that will relieve the flowgate(s) in question.

2. Introduction

DYNP has requested a system impact study for transmission service from CSWS to ERCOTE.

There are three constrained flowgates that require relief for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgates and the explanations are as follows:

- PSOSWEPCOTIE: PSO – SWEPCO Tie.

- PITVALSUNHUG: Pittsburg – Valiant 345kv for the loss of Sunnyside to Hugo 345kV.

- HUGVALHUGVAL: Hugo - Valiant 138kV for the loss of the Hugo - Valiant 345kV.

3. Study Methodology

A. Description

Southwest Power Pool used Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA) to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. TARA calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units within the Southwest Power Pool Footprint. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

B. Model Updates

The 2022 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

C. Transfer Analysis

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using TARA, specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by TARA is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of the request, three flowgates require relief. The flowgates and associated amount of relief are as follows:

Table 1

Flowgate	Duration	Sensitivity (%)	Required Relief (MW)
5578:PSOSWEPCOTIE	12/21/2022 00:00 - 12/27/2022 00:00	44.58%	44.58
5661:PITVALSUNHUG	12/21/2022 00:00 - 12/27/2022 00:00	23.64%	23.64
5691:HUGVALHUGVAL	12/21/2022 00:00 - 12/27/2022 00:00	6.47%	6.47

Table 2 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for each flowgate in question and the amount of redispatch capacity needed.

Table 2

5578:PSOSWEPCOTIE			
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW
WILKE3-1	ANADRK5	75.99%	58.67
WILKE3-1	MCLN 1S	75.14%	59.33
WILKE3-1	SMITHCO4-2	74.87%	59.54
TURKCOAL-1	ANADRK5	73.55%	60.61
TURKCOAL-1	MCLN 1S	72.70%	61.32
LIEBR4-1	ANADRK5	72.63%	61.38
TURKCOAL-1	SMITHCO4-2	72.43%	61.55
LIEBR4-1	MCLN 1S	71.79%	62.10
LIEBR4-1	SMITHCO4-2	71.52%	62.33

5661:PITVALSUNHUG			
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW
TURKCOAL-1	MCLN 1S	42.08%	56.18
TURKCOAL-1	ANADRK5	42.00%	56.29
TURKCOAL-1	SMITHCO4-2	41.86%	56.47
WILKE3-1	MCLN 1S	37.34%	63.31
WILKE3-1	ANADRK5	37.26%	63.45
WILKE3-1	SMITHCO4-2	37.13%	63.68
LIEBR4-1	MCLN 1S	33.81%	69.93
LIEBR4-1	ANADRK5	33.72%	70.10
LIEBR4-1	SMITHCO4-2	33.59%	70.38

5691: HUGVALHUGVAL			
Increment	**Decrement**	**Sensitivity**	**MW**
TURKCOAL-1	ANADRK5	11.25%	57.53
WILKE3-1	ANADRK5	10.46%	61.87
TURKCOAL-1	MCLN 1S	10.04%	64.46
TURKCOAL-1	SMITHCO4-2	9.93%	65.15
LIEBR4-1	ANADRK5	9.63%	67.21
WILKE3-1	MCLN 1S	9.25%	69.96
WILKE3-1	SMITHCO4-2	9.14%	70.78
LIEBR4-1	MCLN 1S	8.42%	76.87
LIEBR4-1	SMITHCO4-2	8.31%	77.86

5. Conclusion

Generation redispatch options were studied in order to relieve the necessary constraint(s). The results of this study shows that the constraints on the flowgate(s) in question could be relieved by executing one or more of the options described in the Study Results section of this document.