

System Impact Study SPP-2019-058 For Transmission Service Requested By: TEA

From CSWS.EASTMAN to ERCOTE

For a Reserved Amount Of 50 MW From 10/01/2019 To 10/04/2019

SPP IMPACT STUDY (SPP-2019-058) September 26, 2019 1 of 7

<u>1. Executive Summary</u>

TEA has requested a system impact study for daily firm transmission service from CSWS.EASTMAN to ERCOTE. The period of the transaction is from 10/01/2019 00:00 to 10/04/2019 00:00. The request is for reservation 89951985.

The 50 MW transaction from CSWS.EASTMAN has an impact on the following flowgates with no AFC: PSOSWEPCOTIE, PITVALSUNHUG, NTXEASNTXEAS. To provide the AFC necessary for this transfer, the impact on these flowgates must be relieved.

2. Introduction

TEA has requested a system impact study for transmission service from CSWS.EASTMAN to ERCOTE.

There are three constrained flowgates that require relief in order for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgates and the explanations are as follows:

- PSOSWEPCOTIE: PSO SWEPCO Tie.
- PITVALSUNHUG: Pittsburg Valiant 345kv for the loss of Sunnyside to Hugo 345kV.
- NTXEASNTXEAS: NORTH TEXAS EASTMAN EAST TEXAS SW 138 KV CKT 1 for the loss of the NORTH TEXAS EASTMAN – EAST TEXAS SW 138 KV CKT 2.

3. Study Methodology

A. Description

Southwest Power Pool used Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA) to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. TARA calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units within the Southwest Power Pool Footprint. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

B. Model Updates

The 2019 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

C. Transfer Analysis

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA), specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by TARA is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of the request, three flowgates require relief. The flowgates and associated amount of relief are as follows:

Table 1

Flowgate	Duration	Sensitivity (%)	Required Relief (MW)
5578:PSOSWEPCOTIE	10/1/2019 00:00 - 10/4/2019 00:00	3.83%	1.91
5661:PITVALSUNHUG	10/1/2019 00:00 - 10/4/2019 00:00	3.39%	1.7
5666:NTXEASNTXEAS	10/1/2019 00:00 - 10/4/2019 00:00	98.56%	49.28

Table 2 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for each flowgate in question and the amount of redispatch capacity needed.

Table 2

5578:PSOSWEPCOTIE					
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW		
Tengas 1	Kiowa S1	72.93%	2.62		
Lieberman 2	Kiowa S1	78.93%	2.42		
Lonestar	Kiowa S1	79.68%	2.40		
Tengas 1	Comanche 2	69.49%	2.75		
Lieberman 2	Comanche 2	75.48%	2.53		
Lonestar	Comanche 2	76.23%	2.51		
Tengas 1	Flint Creek	63.45%	3.01		
Lieberman 2	Flint Creek	69.44%	2.75		
Lonestar	Flint Creek	70.19%	2.72		

5661:PITVALSUNHUG					
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW		
Tengas 1	Kiowa S1	47.39%	3.59		
Lieberman 2	Kiowa S1	46.35%	3.67		
Lonestar	Kiowa S1	49.98%	3.40		
Tengas 1	Comanche 2	35.24%	4.82		
Lieberman 2	Comanche 2	34.19%	4.97		
Lonestar	Comanche 2	37.82%	4.50		
Tengas 1	Flint Creek	30.19%	5.63		
Lieberman 2	Flint Creek	29.15%	5.83		
Lonestar	Flint Creek	32.78%	5.19		

5666:NTXEASNTXEAS					
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW		
No redispatch pairs available					

SPP IMPACT STUDY (SPP-2019-058) September 26, 2019 6 of 7

5. Conclusion

Generation redispatch options were studied in order to relieve the necessary constraint. The result of this study shows that the constraints on the flowgates in question could not be relieved by executing one of the options described in the Study Results section of this document. The reservation will be refused due to no ATC on the impacted flowgates.