



# **SPP** *Southwest Power Pool*

***System Impact Study  
SPP-2019-035  
For Transmission Service  
Requested By:  
MCPI***

***From WFEC to ERCOTE***

***For a Reserved Amount Of  
50 MW***

***From 06/01/2019  
To 07/01/2019***

## **1. Executive Summary**

MCPI has requested a system impact study for monthly firm transmission service from WFEF to ERCOTE. The period of the transaction is from 06/01/2019 00:00 to 07/01/2019 00:00. The request is for reservation 88740979.

The 50 MW transaction from WFEF has an impact on the following flowgates with no AFC: PSOSWEPCOTIE, PITVALSUNHUG, LEBPIRLEBPIR. To provide the AFC necessary for this transfer, the impact on these flowgates must be relieved.

After studying many scenarios using generation redispatch, there are several feasible scenarios that will relieve the flowgate(s) in question.

## **2. Introduction**

MCPI has requested a system impact study for transmission service from WFEC to ERCOTE.

There are three constrained flowgates that require relief in order for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgates and the explanations are as follows:

- PSOSWEPCOTIE: PSO - SWEPCO Tie.
- PITVALSUNHUG: Pittsburg - Valiant 345kv for the loss of Sunnyside to Hugo 345kV.
- LEBPIRLEBPIR: Lebrock – Pirkey 345kV Ckt 2 for the loss of Lebrock – Pirkey 345kV Ckt 1.

### **3. Study Methodology**

#### **A. Description**

Southwest Power Pool used Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA) to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. TARA calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units within the Southwest Power Pool Footprint. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

#### **B. Model Updates**

The 2019 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

#### **C. Transfer Analysis**

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA), specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by TARA is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

## 4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of the request, three flowgates require relief. The flowgates and associated amount of relief are as follows:

**Table 1**

Flowgate	Duration	Sensitivity (%)	Required Relief (MW)
5578:PSOSWPCOTIE	6/1/2019 00:00 - 7/1/2019 00:00	78.87%	39.43
5661:PITVALSUNHUG	6/1/2019 00:00 - 7/1/2019 00:00	30.10%	15.05
5667:LEBPIRLEBPIR	6/1/2019 00:00 - 7/1/2019 00:00	4.85%	2

Table 2 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for each flowgate in question and the amount of redispatch capacity needed.

**Table 2**

5578:PSOSWPCOTIE			
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW
Lieberman 3	Holcomb	71.07%	55.48
Lieberman 4	Holcomb	71.07%	55.48
Lieberman 3	Ft. Dodge	70.99%	55.54
Lieberman 4	Ft. Dodge	70.99%	55.54
Lieberman 3	Flint Creek	70.13%	56.22
Lieberman 4	Flint Creek	70.13%	56.22
Arsenal Hill	Holcomb	69.81%	56.48
Arsenal Hill	Ft. Dodge	69.74%	56.54
Arsenal Hill	Flint Creek	68.88%	57.25

5661:PITVALSUNHUG			
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW
Lieberman 3	Holcomb	30.52%	49.31
Lieberman 4	Holcomb	30.52%	49.31
Lieberman 3	Ft. Dodge	30.48%	49.38
Lieberman 4	Ft. Dodge	30.48%	49.38
Arsenal Hill	Holcomb	30.05%	50.08
Arsenal Hill	Ft. Dodge	30.00%	50.16
Lieberman 3	Flint Creek	29.73%	50.62
Lieberman 4	Flint Creek	29.73%	50.62
Arsenal Hill	Flint Creek	29.26%	51.44

<b>5667:LEBPIRLEBPIR</b>			
<b>Increment</b>	<b>Decrement</b>	<b>Sensitivity</b>	<b>MW</b>
Lieberman 3	Flint Creek	5.00%	48.43
Lieberman 4	Flint Creek	5.00%	48.43
Lieberman 3	Ft. Dodge	4.87%	49.72
Lieberman 4	Ft. Dodge	4.87%	49.72
Lieberman 3	Holcomb	4.86%	49.85
Lieberman 4	Holcomb	4.86%	49.85
Arsenal Hill	Flint Creek	4.50%	53.81
Arsenal Hill	Ft. Dodge	4.37%	55.42
Arsenal Hill	Holcomb	4.36%	55.57

## **5. Conclusion**

Generation redispatch options were studied in order to relieve the necessary constraints. The results of this study shows that the constraints on the flowgates in question could be relieved by executing one or more of the options described in the Study Results section of this document. Before the Transmission Provider accepts the reservations, proof of the necessary relief options must be presented to Southwest Power Pool. Noncompliance with this guideline will result in the refusal of the reservation.