

System Impact Study
SPP-2018-064
For Transmission Service
Requested By:
DCT

From OPDD to MEC

For a Reserved Amount Of 50 MW From 03/12/2018 To 03/19/2018

1. Executive Summary

DCT has requested a system impact study for weekly firm transmission service from OPPD to MEC. The period of the transaction is from 03/11/2018 23:00 to 03/18/2018 23:00. The request is for reservation 86520784.

The 50 MW transaction from OPPD.COAL has an impact on the following flowgates with no AFC: NEBS56S40S55, COPSTJCPFRSJ, COOPER_S. To provide the AFC necessary for this transfer, the impact on these flowgates must be relieved.

After studying many scenarios using generation redispatch, there are several feasible scenarios that will relieve the flowgate(s) in question.

2. Introduction

DCT has requested a system impact study for transmission service from OPPD to MEC.

There are 3 constrained flowgates that require relief in order for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgates and the explanations are as follows:

- NEBS56S40S55: Nebraska City Sub 3456 345 kV for the loss of Sub 3740
 Sub 3455 345 kV.
- COPSTJCPFRSJ: Cooper St. Joe 345 kV for the loss of St. Joe to Fairport to Cooper 345 kV
- COOPER_S: Fairport to Cooper to St. Joe 345 kV.

3. Study Methodology

A. Description

Southwest Power Pool used Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA) to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. TARA calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units within the Southwest Power Pool Footprint. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

B. Model Updates

The 2018 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

C. Transfer Analysis

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA), specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by TARA is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of the request, two flowgates require relief. The flowgates and associated amount of relief are as follows:

Table 1

| Flouresta | Donation | Sensitivity | Required Relief |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Flowgate | Duration | (%) | (MW) |
| 5508:NEBS56S40S55 | 3/12/2018 00:00 - 3/19/2018 00:00 | 18.06% | 9 |
| 5566:COPSTJCPFRSJ | 3/15/2018 00:00 - 3/16/2018 00:00 | 5.20% | 3 |
| 6009:COOPER_S | 3/12/2018 00:00 - 3/19/2018 00:00 | 7.21% | 4 |

Table 2 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for each flowgates in question and the amount of redispatch capacity needed.

Table 2

| 5508:NEBS56S40S55 | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------|----|--|--|
| Increment | Decrement | Sensitivity | MW | | |
| Walter Scott 4 | Lake Road | 29.52% | 30 | | |
| Walter Scott 4 | Hawthorn | 28.95% | 31 | | |
| Walter Scott 4 | Sibley 2 | 28.90% | 31 | | |
| Jones 2 | Lake Road | 27.86% | 32 | | |
| Jones 2 | Hawthorn | 27.29% | 33 | | |
| Sarpy 2 | Lake Road | 27.27% | 33 | | |
| Jones 2 | Sibley 2 | 27.24% | 33 | | |
| Sarpy 2 | Hawthorn | 26.70% | 34 | | |
| Sarpy 2 | Sibley 2 | 26.64% | 34 | | |

| 5566:COPSTJCPFRSJ | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------|----|--|--|
| Increment | Decrement | Sensitivity | MW | | |
| Nearman | 84th & Bluff | 31.16% | 10 | | |
| Quindaro | 84th & Bluff | 31.11% | 10 | | |
| Northeast 11 | 84th & Bluff | 31.06% | 10 | | |
| Nearman | Fairmont | 29.50% | 10 | | |
| Quindaro | Fairmont | 29.45% | 10 | | |
| Northeast 11 | Fairmont | 29.40% | 10 | | |
| Nearman | Platte | 27.32% | 11 | | |
| Quindaro | Platte | 27.27% | 11 | | |
| Northeast 11 | Platte | 27.21% | 11 | | |

| 6009:COOPER_S | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Increment | Decrement | Sensitivity MW | | | |
| Northeast 11 | 84th & Bluff | 37.95% 11 | | | |
| Nearman | 84th & Bluff | 37.92% 11 | | | |
| Quindaro | 84th & Bluff | 37.87% 11 | | | |
| Northeast 11 | Fairmont | 35.68% 11 | | | |
| Nearman | Fairmont | 35.65% 11 | | | |
| Quindaro | Fairmont | 35.60% 11 | | | |
| Northeast 11 | Energy Center 1 | 33.22% 12 | | | |
| Nearman | Energy Center 1 | 33.20% 12 | | | |
| Quindaro | Energy Center 1 | 33.15% 12 | | | |

5. Conclusion

Generation redispatch options were studied in order to relieve the necessary constraints. The results of this study shows that the constraints on the flowgates in question could be relieved by executing one or more of the options described in the Study Results section of this document. Before the Transmission Provider accepts the reservations, proof of the necessary relief options must be presented to Southwest Power Pool. Noncompliance with this guideline will result in the refusal of the reservation.