



**SPP** *Southwest  
Power Pool*

***System Impact Study  
SPP-2018-032  
For Transmission Service  
Requested By:  
DCT***

***From OPPD to MEC***

***For a Reserved Amount Of  
50 MW  
From 02/12/2018  
To 02/19/2018***

## **1. Executive Summary**

DCT has requested a system impact study for weekly firm transmission service from OPPD to MEC. The period of the transaction is from 02/12/2018 00:00:00 to 02/19/2018 00:00:00. The request is for reservation 86315646.

The 50 MW transaction from OPPD has an impact on the following flowgates with no AFC: NEBS56S40S55, COPSTJCPFRSJ, COOPER\_S. To provide the AFC necessary for this transfer, the impact on these flowgates must be relieved.

After studying many scenarios using generation redispatch, there are several feasible scenarios that will relieve the flowgate(s) in question.

## **2. Introduction**

DCT has requested a system impact study for transmission service from OPPD to MEC.

There are 3 constrained flowgates that require relief in order for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgates and the explanations are as follows:

- NEBS56S40S55: Nebraska City – Sub 3456 345 kV for the loss of Sub 3740 – Sub 3455 345 kV.
- COPSTJCPFRSJ: Cooper – St. Joe 345 kV for the loss of St. Joe to Fairport to Cooper 345 kV
- COOPER\_S: Fairport to Cooper to St. Joe 345 kV.

### **3. Study Methodology**

#### **A. Description**

Southwest Power Pool used Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA) to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. TARA calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units within the Southwest Power Pool Footprint. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

#### **B. Model Updates**

The 2018 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

#### **C. Transfer Analysis**

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA), specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by TARA is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

## 4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of the request, two flowgates require relief. The flowgates and associated amount of relief are as follows:

**Table 1**

Flowgate	Duration	Sensitivity (%)	Required Relief (MW)
5508:NEBS56S40S55	2/12/2018 00:00 - 2/19/2018 00:00	20.91%	10
5566:COPSTJCPFRSJ	2/12/2018 00:00 - 2/19/2018 00:00	5.60%	3
6009:COOPER_S	2/12/2018 00:00 - 2/19/2018 00:00	7.58%	4

Table 2 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for each flowgates in question and the amount of redispatch capacity needed.

**Table 2**

5508:NEBS56S40S55			
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW
Big Stone	latan	13.86%	72
Big Stone	Hawthorn	13.66%	73
Groton 2	latan	13.64%	73
Groton 1	latan	13.64%	73
Groton 2	Hawthorn	13.44%	74
Groton 1	Hawthorn	13.44%	74
Big Stone	Dogwood CT2	13.17%	76
Groton 2	Dogwood CT2	12.95%	77
Groton 1	Dogwood CT2	12.95%	77

5566:COPSTJCPFRSJ			
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW
Quindaro	Fairmont	29.47%	10
Northeast 2	Fairmont	29.41%	10
Lawrence Energy Center	Fairmont	27.89%	11
Quindaro	Platte	27.28%	11
Quindaro	Energy Center	27.27%	11
Northeast 2	Platte	27.23%	11
Northeast 2	Energy Center	27.21%	11
Lawrence Energy Center	Platte	25.71%	12
Lawrence Energy Center	Energy Center	25.69%	12

<b>6009:COOPER_S</b>			
<b>Increment</b>	<b>Decrement</b>	<b>Sensitivity</b>	<b>MW</b>
Northeast 2	Fairmont	35.70%	11
Quindaro	Fairmont	35.62%	11
Lawrence Energy Center	Fairmont	33.36%	12
Northeast 2	Energy Center	33.25%	12
Northeast 2	Platte	33.24%	12
Quindaro	Energy Center	33.17%	12
Quindaro	Platte	33.16%	12
Lawrence Energy Center	Energy Center	30.91%	13
Lawrence Energy Center	Platte	30.90%	13

## **5. Conclusion**

Generation redispatch options were studied in order to relieve the necessary constraints. The results of this study shows that the constraints on the flowgates in question could be relieved by executing one or more of the options described in the Study Results section of this document. Before the Transmission Provider accepts the reservations, proof of the necessary relief options must be presented to Southwest Power Pool. Noncompliance with this guideline will result in the refusal of the reservation.