

System Impact Study SPP-2017-059 For Transmission Service Requested By: DCT

From OPPD to MEC

For a Reserved Amount Of 50 MW From 12/17/2017 To 12/24/2017

SPP IMPACT STUDY (SPP-2017-059) December 11, 2017 1 of 7

1. Executive Summary

DCT has requested a system impact study for weekly firm transmission service from OPPD to MEC. The period of the transaction is from 12/17/2017 00:00 to 12/24/2017 00:00. The request is for reservation 86015734.

The 50 MW transaction from OPPD has an impact on the following flowgates with no AFC: NEBS56S40S55, COPSTJCPRFRSJ, and COOPER_S. To provide the AFC necessary for this transfer, the impact on these flowgates must be relieved.

After studying many scenarios using generation redispatch, there are several feasible scenarios that will relieve the flowgate(s) in question.

2. Introduction

DCT has requested a system impact study for transmission service from OPPD to MEC.

There are 3 constrained flowgates that require relief in order for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgates and the explanations are as follows:

- NEBS56S40S55: Nebraska City Sub 3456 345 kV for the loss of Sub 3740
 Sub 3455 345 kV
- COPSTJCPFRSJ: Cooper St. Joe 345 kV for the loss of St. Joe to Fairport to Cooper 345 kV
- COOPER_S: Fairport to Cooper to St. Joe 345 kV

3. Study Methodology

A. Description

Southwest Power Pool used Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA) to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. TARA calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units within the Southwest Power Pool Footprint. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

B. Model Updates

The 2017 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

C. Transfer Analysis

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA), specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by TARA is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of the request, three flowgates require relief. The flowgates and associated amount of relief are as follows:

Table 1

		Sensitivity	Required Relief
Flowgate	Duration	(%)	(MW)
5508:NEBS56S40S55	12/17/2017 00:00 - 12/24/2017 00:00	26.80%	13
5566:COPSTJCPFRSJ	12/17/2017 00:00 - 12/24/2017 00:00	6.84%	3
6009:COOPER_S	12/18/2017 00:00 - 12/24/2017 00:00	9.00%	5

Table 2 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for each flowgates in question and the amount of redispatch capacity needed.

Table 2

5508:NEBS56S40S55				
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW	
Sarpy	Cass County	46.28%	28	
North Omaha	Cass County	45.95%	28	
Jones	Cass County	45.79%	28	
Sarpy	Lake Road	28.31%	46	
North Omaha	Lake Road	27.98%	46	
Sarpy	latan	27.93%	47	
Jones	Lake Road	27.83%	47	
North Omaha	latan	27.61%	47	
Jones	latan	27.45%	47	

5566:COPSTJCPFRSJ					
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW		
North East	Cass County	31.58%	9		
Levee	Cass County	31.55%	10		
North East	Rokeby	30.88%	10		
Levee	Rokeby	30.84%	10		
North East	Sheldon	30.73%	10		
Levee	Sheldon	30.69%	10		
Lawrence Energy Center	Cass County	30.06%	10		
Lawrence Energy Center	Rokeby	29.35%	10		
Lawrence Energy Center	Sheldon	29.21%	10		

6009:COOPER_S					
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	MW		
Levee	Cass County	38.58%	13		
North East	Cass County	38.53%	13		
Quindaro	Cass County	38.45%	13		
Levee	Rokeby	37.81%	13		
North East	Rokeby	37.77%	13		
Quindaro	Rokeby	37.69%	13		
Levee	Sheldon	37.68%	13		
North East	Sheldon	37.63%	13		
Quindaro	Sheldon	37.55%	13		

5. Conclusion

Generation redispatch (and reservation curtailment) options were studied in order to relieve the necessary constraints. The results of this study shows that the constraints on the flowgates in question could be relieved by executing one or more of the options described in the Study Results section of this document. Before the Transmission Provider accepts the reservations, proof of the necessary relief options must be presented to Southwest Power Pool. Noncompliance with this guideline will result in the refusal of the reservation.