Aggregate Facility Study SPP-2013-AG2-AFS-6

8/28/2014

SPP Engineering, SPP Transmission Service Studies



Table of Contents

Table of Contents	1
Executive Summary	2
Introduction	3
Financial Analysis	5
Third-Party Facilities	6
Study Methodology	6
Description	6
Model Development	7
Transmission Request Modeling	8
Transfer Analysis	8
Curtailment and Redispatch Evaluation	8
Study Results	9
Study Analysis Results	9
Example A:	10
Example B:	10
Example C:	11
Study Definitions	11
Conclusion	11
Appendix A	13
BASE CASE SETTINGS:	13
ACCC CASE SETTINGS:	13

Executive Summary

Pursuant to Attachment Z1 of the Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (SPP) Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), 14,024 MW of long-term transmission service requests have been studied in this Aggregate Facility Study (AFS). The principal objective of the AFS is to identify system problems and potential modifications necessary to facilitate these transfers while maintaining or improving system reliability, as well as summarizing the operating limits and determination of the financial characteristics associated with facility upgrades. A highly tangible benefit of studying transmission requests aggregately under the SPP OATT Attachment Z1 is the sharing of costs among Transmission Customers using the same facility. Facility upgrade costs are allocated on a prorated basis to all requests positively impacting any individual overloaded facility.

Attachment Z2 further provides for facility upgrade cost recovery by stating: "Transmission Customers paying Directly Assigned Upgrade Costs for Service Upgrades or that are in excess of the Safe Harbor Cost Limit for Network Upgrades associated with new or changed Designated Resources and Project Sponsors paying Directly Assigned Upgrade Costs for Sponsored Upgrades shall receive revenue credits in accordance with Attachment Z2. Generation Interconnection Customers paying for Network Upgrades shall receive credits for new transmission service using the facility as specified in Attachment Z1."

- The AFS determined that the total assigned facility upgrade Engineering and Construction (E&C) cost is \$400 thousand. Additionally, third party facility upgrade costs are indeterminate.
- Total upgrade levelized revenue requirements for all transmission requests after consideration of potential base plan funding is \$0.

To accommodate the requested SPP Transmission Service, third-party facilities must be upgraded when the third-party transmission provider determines that they are constrained. Third-party facilities include both first-tier neighboring facilities outside SPP and Transmission Owner facilities within SPP that are not under the SPP OATT. In this AFS, third-party facilities were identified. Total E&C cost estimates for required third-party facility upgrades are applicable.

SPP will tender a Letter of Intent on August 28, 2014. This will open a 15-day window for Customer response. To remain in the Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS), SPP must receive from the Customer by September 12, 2014, an executed Letter of Intent. The Letter of Intent will list options the Customer must choose to clarify their commitment to remain in the ATSS. The only action required on OASIS is to withdraw the request or leave the request in study mode.

If Customers withdraw from the ATSS after posting of this AFS, the AFS will be re-performed to determine final cost allocation and Available Transmission Capability (ATC) in consideration of the remaining ATSS participants. All allocated revenue requirements for facility upgrades are assigned to the Customer in the AFS data tables. Potential base plan funding allowable is contingent upon validation of designated resources meeting Attachment J, Section III B criteria.

Introduction

Important milestones and dates in SPP's Aggregate Transmission Study process:

- In 2005, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) accepted SPP's proposed Aggregate Transmission Study procedures in Docket ER05-109.
- All requests for long-term transmission service with a signed study agreement received before June 1, 2013 for 2013-AG2 have been included in this second Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS) of 2013.

. The results of the AFS are detailed in Tables 1 through 7. Detailed results depict individual upgrade costs by study and potential base plan allowances determined by Attachments J and Z1. The OATT may be accessed at SPP's website by going to SPP.org>Org Groups>Governing Documents.

To understand the extent to which Base Plan Upgrades may be applied to both Point-to-Point (PTP) and Network Transmission Services, it is necessary to highlight the definition of Designated Resource. Per Section 1.9a of the SPP OATT, a Designated Resource is:

"[a]ny designated generation resource owned, purchased or leased by a Transmission Customer to serve load in the SPP Region. Designated Resources do not include any resource, or any portion thereof, that is committed for sale to third parties or otherwise cannot be called upon to meet the Transmission Customer's load on a non-interruptible basis."

Network and PTP service has potential for base plan funding if the conditions for classifying upgrades associated with designated resources as Base Plan Upgrades as defined in Section III.B of Attachment J are met.

Pursuant to Attachment J, Section III B of the SPP OATT, the Transmission Customer must provide SPP information necessary to verify that the new or changed Designated Resource meets the following conditions:

- 1. Transmission Customer's commitment to the requested new or changed Designated Resource must have a duration of at least five years.
- 2. During the first year the Designated Resource is planned to be used by the Transmission Customer, the accredited capacity of the Transmission Customer's existing Designated Resources plus the lesser of:
 - a. The planned maximum net dependable capacity applicable to the Transmission Customer or
 - b. The requested capacity; shall not exceed 125% of the Transmission Customer's projected system peak responsibility determined pursuant to SPP Criteria 2.

According to Attachment Z1 Section VI.A, PTP customers pay the higher of the monthly transmission access charge (base rate) or the monthly revenue requirement associated with the assigned facility upgrades, including any prepayments for redispatch required during construction.

Network Integration Service Customers pay the total monthly transmission access charges and the monthly revenue requirement associated with the facility upgrades, including any prepayments for redispatch during construction.

Transmission Customers paying for a directly assigned Network Upgrade shall receive credits for new transmission service using the facility as specified in Attachment Z2.

Facilities identified as limiting the requested Transmission Service have been reviewed to determine the required in-service date of each Network Upgrade. The year that each Network Upgrade is required to accommodate a request is determined by interpolating between the applicable model years given the respective loading data. Both previously assigned facilities and the facilities assigned to this request for Transmission Service were evaluated.

In some instances, due to lead times for engineering and construction, Network Upgrades may not be available when required to accommodate a request for Transmission Service. When this occurs, the ATC with available Network Upgrades will be less than the capacity requested during either a portion of or all of the requested reservation period. As a result, the lowest seasonal allocated ATC within the requested reservation period will be offered to the Transmission Customer on an applicable annual basis as listed in Table 1. The ATC may be limited by transmission owner planned projects, expansion plan projects, or Customer assigned upgrades.

Some constraints identified in the AFS were not assigned to the Customer because SPP, the Transmission Provider, determined that upgrades are not required due to various reasons or the Transmission Owner has construction plans pending for these upgrades. These facilities are listed by reservation in Table 3. This table also includes constrained facilities in the current planning horizon that limit the rollover rights of the Transmission Customer. Table 6 lists possible redispatch pairs to allow start of service prior to completion of assigned Network Upgrades. Table 7 lists costs allocated per request for Service Upgrades assigned in this AFS.

By taking the transmission service subject to interim redispatch, the Transmission Customer agrees to provide interim redispatch. Once the Transmission Provider identifies the possible redispatch pairs, the Transmission Customer can enter into bilateral agreements to provide redispatch. Should the need to implement redispatch arise in order to maintain Network reliability, it is up to the Transmission Customer to contact parties with whom they have entered into redispatch agreements to implement that service. Such redispatch shall occur in advance of curtailment of other firm reservations impacting these constraints. In the absence of implementation of interim redispatch as requested by the Transmission Provider for Transmission Customer transactions resulting in overloads on limiting facilities, the Transmission Provider shall curtail the Transmission Customers schedule.

Financial Analysis

The AFS utilizes the allocated Customer's E&C cost in a present worth analysis to determine the monthly levelized revenue requirement of each facility upgrade over the term of the reservation. In some cases, Network Upgrades cannot be completed within the requested reservation period, thus deferred reservation periods will be utilized in the present worth analysis. If the Customer chose Option 2, Redispatch, in the Letter of Intent, the present worth analysis of revenue requirements will be based on the deferred term with redispatch in the subsequent AFS. The upgrade levelized revenue requirement includes interest, depreciation, and carrying costs.

Each request for Transmission Service is evaluated independently as the cost associated with each Network Upgrade is assigned to a request. When facilities are upgraded throughout the reservation period, the Transmission Customer shall 1) pay the total E&C costs and other annual operating costs associated with the new facilities, and 2) receive credits associated with the depreciated book value of removed usable facilities; salvage value of removed non-usable facilities; and the carrying charges, excluding depreciation, associated with all removed usable facilities based on their respective book values.

In the event that the engineering and construction of a previously assigned Network Upgrade may be accelerated, with no additional upgrades, to accommodate a new request for Transmission Service, the levelized present worth of only the incremental expenses though the reservation period of the new request, excluding depreciation, shall be assigned to the new request. These incremental expenses, excluding depreciation, include:

- 1. The levelized difference in present worth of the engineering and construction expenses given the change in date to complete construction to account for additional interest expense and reduced engineering and construction expense due to inflation,
- 2. The levelized present worth of all expediting fees, and
- 3. The levelized present worth of the incremental annual carrying charges, excluding depreciation and interest, during the new reservation period taking into account both:
 - a. The reservation in which the project was originally assigned, and
 - b. A reservation, if any, in which the project was previously accelerated.

In the case of a Base Plan Upgrade being displaced or deferred by an earlier in service date for a requested upgrade, achievable base plan avoided revenue requirements shall be determined per Attachment J, Section VII.B methodology. A deferred Base Plan Upgrade is defined as a different requested Network Upgrade needed at an earlier date that negates the need for the initial Base Plan Upgrade within the planning horizon. A displaced Base Plan Upgrade is defined as the same Network Upgrade being displaced by a requested upgrade needed at an earlier date.

A 40-year service life assumption is utilized for Base Plan funded projects, unless another assumption is provided by the Transmission Owner. A present worth analysis of revenue requirements on a common year basis between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades was

performed to determine avoided Base Plan revenue requirements due to the displacement or deferral of the Base Plan Upgrade by the Requested Upgrade. The difference in present worth between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades is assigned to the transmission requests impacting this upgrade based on the displacement or deferral.

Third-Party Facilities

For third-party facilities listed in Table 3 and Table 5, the Transmission Customer is responsible for funding the necessary upgrades of these facilities per Section 21.1 of the Transmission Provider's OATT. In this AFS, third-party facilities were identified. Total E&C cost estimates for required third-party facility upgrades are applicable. The Transmission Provider will undertake reasonable efforts to assist the Transmission Customer in making arrangements for necessary engineering, permitting, and construction of the third-party facilities. Third-party facility upgrade E&C cost estimates are not utilized to determine the present worth value of levelized revenue requirements for SPP system Network Upgrades.

All modeled facilities within the Transmission Provider system were monitored during the development of this study, as well as certain facilities in first-tier neighboring systems. Third-party facilities must be upgraded when it is determined that they are overloaded while accommodating the requested Transmission Service. An agreement between the Customer and third party owner detailing the mitigation of the third party impact must be provided to the Transmission Provider prior to tendering of a Transmission Service Agreement. These facilities also include those owned by members of the Transmission Provider who have not placed their facilities under the Transmission Provider's OATT. Upgrades on the Southwest Power Administration network requires prepayment of the upgrade cost prior to construction of the upgrade.

Third-party facilities are evaluated for only those requests whose load sinks within the SPP footprint. The Customer must arrange for study of third party facilities for load that sinks outside the SPP footprint with the applicable Transmission Providers.

Study Methodology

Description

The facility study analysis was conducted to determine the steady-state impact of the requested service on the SPP and first tier non-SPP control area systems. The steady-state analysis was performed consistent with current SPP Criteria and NERC Reliability Standard Requirements. SPP conforms to NERC Reliability Standards, which provide strict requirements related to voltage violations and thermal overloads during normal conditions and during a contingency. NERC Standards require all facilities to be within normal operating ratings for normal system conditions and within emergency ratings after a contingency.

Normal operating ratings and emergency operating ratings monitored are Rate A and B in the SPP Model Development Working Group (MDWG) models, respectively. The upper bound and lower bound of the normal voltage range monitored is 105% and 95%. The upper bound and lower bound of the emergency voltage range monitored is 105% and 90%. Transmission Owner voltage monitoring criteria is used if more restrictive. The SPS Tuco 230 kV bus voltage is monitored at 92.5% due to pre-determined system stability limitations. The WERE Wolf Creek 345 kV bus voltage is monitored at 103.5% and 98.5% due to transmission operating procedure.

The contingency set includes all SPP control area branches and ties 69 kV and above; first tier non-SPP control area branches and ties 115 kV and above; any defined contingencies for these control areas; and generation unit outages for the control areas with SPP reserve share program redispatch. The monitor elements include all SPP control area branches, ties, and buses 69 kV and above, and all first tier non-SPP control area branches and ties 115 kV and above. Voltage monitoring was performed for SPP control area buses 69 kV and above.

A 3 % transfer distribution factor (TDF) cutoff was applied to all SPP control area facilities. For first tier non-SPP control area facilities, a 3 % TDF cutoff was applied to AECI, AMRN (Ameren), and ENTR (Entergy) control areas. A 2 % TDF cutoff was applied to WAPA. For voltage monitoring, a 0.02 per unit change in voltage must occur due to the transfer or modeling upgrades to be considered a valid limit to the transfer.

Model Development

SPP used nine seasonal models to study the aggregate transfers over a variety of requested service periods. The following SPP Transmission Expansion Plan 2014 Build Cases were used to study the impact of the requested service on the transmission system:

2014/15 Winter Peak (14WP)

2015 Summer Peak (15SP)

2015/16 Winter Peak (15WP)

2016 Summer Peak (16SP)

2016/17 Winter Peak (16WP)

2020 Summer Peak (20SP)

2020/21 Winter Peak (20WP)

2025 Summer Peak (25SP)

2025/26 Winter Peak (25WP)

The Summer Peak models apply to June through September and the Winter Peak models apply to December through March.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the current modeling information. One group of requests was developed from the aggregate to model the requested service. From the seasonal models, two system scenarios were developed. Scenario 0 includes projected usage of transmission included in the SPP 2014 Series Cases. Scenario 5 includes transmission service not already included in the SPP 2014 Series Cases.

Transmission Request Modeling

Network Integration Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Load transfers in addition to Generation to Generation transfers. Network Integration Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Load transfers in addition to Generation to Generation because the requested Network Integration Transmission Service is a request to serve network load with the new designated network resource, and the impacts on Transmission System are determined accordingly. Point-To-Point Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Generation transfers. Generation to Generation transfers are accomplished by developing a post-transfer case for comparison by dispatching the request source and redispatching the request sink.

Transfer Analysis

Using the selected cases both with and without the requested transfers modeled, the PSS/E Activity ACCC was run on the cases and compared to determine the facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfer. Transfer distribution factor cutoffs (SPP and 1st-Tier) and voltage threshold (0.02 change) were applied to determine the impacted facilities. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the analysis can be found in Appendix A.

Curtailment and Redispatch Evaluation

During any period in which SPP determines that a transmission constraint exists on and may impair Transmission System reliability, SPP will take whatever actions are reasonably necessary to maintain reliability. If SPP determines Transmission System reliability can be maintained by redispatching resources, it will evaluate the interim curtailment of existing confirmed service or interim redispatch of units to provide service prior to completion of any assigned Network Upgrades. Any redispatch may not unduly discriminate between the Transmission Owners' use of the Transmission System on behalf of their Native Load Customers and any Transmission Customer's use of the Transmission System to serve its designated load. Redispatch was evaluated to provide only interim service during the time frame prior to completion of any assigned Network Upgrades. Curtailment of existing confirmed service is evaluated to provide only interim service. Curtailment of existing confirmed service is only evaluated at the request of the transmission Customer.

SPP determined potential relief pairs to relieve the incremental MW impact on limiting facilities as identified in Table 6. Using the selected cases where the limiting facilities were identified, potential incremental and decremental units were identified by determining the generation amount available for increasing and decreasing from the units generation amount, maximum generation amount, and minimum generation amount. If the incremental or decremental amount was greater than 1 MW, the unit was considered as a potential incremental or decremental unit.

Generation shift factors were calculated for the potential incremental and decremental units using Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST). Relief pairs from the generation shift factors for the incremental and decremental units with a greater than 3% TDF on the limiting constraint were determined from the incremental units with the lowest generation shift factors and decremental units with highest generation shift factors. If the aggregate redispatch amount for the potential relief pair was determined to be three times greater than the lower of the increment or decrement, then the pair was determined not to be feasible and is not included. Transmission Customers can request SPP

to provide additional relief pairs beyond those determined. The potential relief pairs were not evaluated to determine impacts on limiting facilities in the SPP and first tier systems. The SPP Reliability Coordinator would call upon the redispatch requirements before implementing NERC TLR Level 5a.

The Aggregate Study analyzes the most probable contingencies and does not account for every situation that may be encountered in real-time operation. Because of this, it is possible that the customer may be curtailed under certain system conditions to allow system operators to maintain the reliability of the transmission network.

Study Results

Study Analysis Results

Tables 1 through 7 contain the AFS steady-state analysis results. Table 1 identifies the participating long-term Transmission Service requests included in the AFS. This table lists deferred start and stop dates both with and without redispatch (based on Customer selection of redispatch if available) and the minimum annual allocated ATC without upgrades and season of first impact.

Table 2 identifies total E&C cost allocated to each Transmission Customer, letter of credit requirements, third party E&C cost assignments, potential base plan E&C funding (lower of allocated E&C or Attachment J Section III B criteria), point-to-point base rate charge, total revenue requirements for assigned upgrades with consideration of potential base plan funding, and final total cost allocation to the Transmission Customer. In addition, Table 2 identifies SWPA upgrade costs which require prepayment in addition to other allocated costs.

Table 3 provides additional details for each request including all assigned facility upgrades required, allocated E&C costs, allocated revenue requirements for upgrades, upgrades not assigned to the Customer but required for service to be confirmed, credits to be paid for previously assigned AFS or Generation Interconnection Network Upgrades, and any required third party upgrades.

Table 4 lists all upgrade requirements with associated solutions needed to provide Transmission Service for the AFS, minimum ATC per upgrade with season of impact, earliest date upgrade is required (DUN), estimated date the upgrade will be completed, in service (EOC), and estimated E&C cost.

Table 5 lists identified third-party constrained facilities.

Table 6 identifies potential redispatch pairs available to relieve the aggregate impacts on identified constraints to prevent deferral of start of service. MW amounts listed for redispatch are maximum values observed in a long term study and may only be available in a reduced amount or unavailable at any given time.

Table 7 lists costs allocated per request for Service Upgrades assigned in this AFS.

The potential base plan funding allowable is contingent on meeting each of the conditions for classifying upgrades associated with designated resources as Base Plan Upgrades as defined in Section III.B of Attachment J. If the additional capacity of the new or changed Designated Resource exceeds the 125% resource to load forecast for the year of start of service, the requested resource is not eligible for base plan funding of required Network Upgrades and the full cost of the upgrades is assignable to the Customer.

If the request is for wind generation, the total requested capacity of wind generation plus existing wind generation capacity shall not exceed 20% of the customer's projected system peak responsibility in the first year the Designated Resource is planned to be used by the customer. If the five-year term and 125% resource to load criteria are met, (as well as the 20% wind resource to load criteria for wind generation requests) the requested capacity is multiplied by \$180,000 to determine the potential base plan funding allowable. The maximum potential base plan funding allowable may be less than the potential base plan funding allowable, due to the E&C cost allocated to the customer being lower than the potential amount allowable to the Customer. The Customer is responsible for any assigned upgrade costs in excess of potential base plan E&C funding allowable. Network Upgrades required for wind generation requests located in a zone other than the Customer POD shall be allocated as 67% base plan region-wide charge and 33% directly assigned to the Customer.

Regarding application of base plan funding for PTP requests, if PTP base rate exceeds upgrade revenue requirements without taking into effect the reduction of revenue requirements by potential base plan funding, then the base rate revenue pays back the Transmission Owner for upgrades and no base plan funding is applicable as the access charge must be paid as it is the higher of "OR" pricing.

However, if initially the upgrade revenue requirements exceed the PTP base rate, then potential base plan funding would be applicable. The test of the higher of "OR" pricing would then be made against the remaining assignable revenue requirements versus PTP base rate. Examples are as follows:

Example A:

E&C allocated for upgrades is \$74 million with revenue requirements of \$140 million and PTP base rate of \$101 million. Potential base plan funding is \$47 million, with the difference of \$27 million E&C assignable to the Customer. If the revenue requirements for the assignable portion is \$54 million and the PTP base rate is \$101 million, the Customer will pay the higher amount (so-called "or pricing") of \$101 million base rate of which \$54 million revenue requirements will be paid back to the Transmission Owners for the upgrades, and the remaining revenue requirements of \$86 million (\$140 million less \$54 million) will be paid by base plan funding.

Example B:

E&C allocated for upgrades is \$74 million with revenue requirements of \$140 million and PTP base rate of \$101 million. Potential base plan funding is \$10 million with the difference of \$64 million E&C assignable to the Customer. If the revenue requirements for this assignable portion is \$128 million and the PTP base rate is \$101 million, the Customer will pay the higher amount of \$128 million revenue requirements to be paid back to the Transmission Owners, and the remaining

revenue requirements of \$12 million (\$140 million less \$128 million) will be paid by base plan funding.

Example C:

E&C allocated for upgrades is \$25 million with revenue requirements of \$50 million and PTP base rate of \$101 million. Potential base plan funding is \$10 million. Base plan funding is not applicable as the higher amount of PTP base rate of \$101 million must be paid and the \$50 million revenue requirements will be paid from this.

The 125% resource to load determination is performed on a per request basis and is not based on a total of Designated Resource requests per Customer. A footnote will provide the maximum resource designation allowable for base plan funding consideration per Customer basis per year.

Base plan funding verification requires that each Transmission Customer with potential for base plan funding provide SPP attestation statements verifying that the firm capacity of the requested Designated Resource is committed for a minimum five year duration.

Study Definitions

- The date upgrade needed date (DUN) is the earliest date the upgrade is required to alleviate a constraint considering all requests.
- End of construction (EOC) is the estimated date the upgrade will be completed and in service.
- Total engineering and construction cost (E&C) is the upgrade solution cost as determined by the Transmission Owner.
- The Transmission Customer's allocation of the E&C cost is based on the request (1) having an impact of at least 3% on the limiting element, and (2) having a positive impact on the upgraded facility.
- Minimum ATC is the portion of the requested capacity that can be accommodated without upgrading facilities.
- Annual ATC allocated to the Transmission Customer is determined by the least amount of allocated seasonal ATC within each year of a reservation period.

Conclusion

The results of the AFS show that limiting constraints exist in many areas of the regional Transmission System. Due to these constraints, Transmission Service cannot be granted unless noted in Table 3.

The Transmission Provider will tender a Letter of Intent on August 28, 2014. This will open a 15-day window for Customer response. To remain in the Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS), the Transmission Provider must receive from the Transmission Customer by September 12, 2014, an executed Letter of Intent. The Letter of Intent will list options the Customer must choose to clarify their commitment to remain in the ATSS. The only action required on OASIS is to WITHDRAW the request or leave the request in STUDY mode.

The Transmission Provider must receive an unconditional and irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of the total allocated E&C costs assigned to the Customer. This letter of credit is not required for those facilities that are fully base plan funded. The amount of the letter of credit will be adjusted down on an annual basis to reflect cost recovery based on revenue allocation. The Transmission Provider will issue notifications to construct Network Upgrades to the constructing Transmission Owner after filing of necessary service agreements at FERC.

Appendix A

PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

BASE CASE SETTINGS:

• Solutions: Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution

(FDNS)

Tap adjustment: Stepping

Area Interchange Control: Tie lines and loads
 Var limits: Apply immediately

Solution Options:

X Phase shift adjustment

Flat start

Lock DC taps

Lock switched shunts

ACCC CASE SETTINGS:

• Solutions: AC contingency checking (ACCC)

MW mismatch tolerance: 0.5
System intact rating: Rate A
Contingency case rating: Rate B
Percent of rating: 100
Output code: Summary

Min flow change in overload report: 3mw
Excld cases w/ no overloads from report: YES
Exclude interfaces from report: NO
Perform voltage limit check: YES
Elements in available capacity table: 60000
Cutoff threshold for available capacity 99999.0

table:

Min. contng. Case Vltg chng for report: 0.02
 Sorted output: None

Newton Solution:

• Tap adjustment: Stepping

Area interchange control:
 Tie lines and loads (Disabled for generator

outages)

• Var limits: Apply immediately

• Solution options: \underline{X} Phase shift adjustment

_ Flat start

_ Lock DC taps

__Lock switched shunts

Table 1 - Long-Term Transmission Service Requests Included in Aggregate Facility Study

Customer	Study Number	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date without interim redispatch	Deferred Stop Date without interim redispatch	Start Date with interim redispatch	Stop Date with interim redispatch	Minimum Allocated ATC (MW) within reservation period	Season of Minimum Allocated ATC within reservation period
AECC	AG2-2013-001	78296916	-	CSWS	100	7/1/2015	7/1/2020	7/1/2015	7/1/2020	7/1/2015	7/1/2020		16SP
AECC	AG2-2013-002	78296940	SPA	OKGE	100	7/1/2015	7/1/2020	7/1/2015	7/1/2020	7/1/2015	7/1/2020		16SP
GRDX	AG2-2013-004	78295225	OKGE	GRDA	53	12/1/2013	6/1/2030	1/1/2015	7/1/2031	1/1/2015	7/1/2031	0	14SP
GSECGS	AG2-2013-005	78291873	SPS	SPS	202	1/1/2017	1/1/2043	6/1/2020	6/1/2046	6/1/2020	6/1/2046	0	20SP
HZN	AG2-2013-010	78297226	OKGE	EES	43	1/1/2016	1/1/2021	6/1/2018	6/1/2023	Note 4	Note 4	0	16SP
HZN	AG2-2013-011	78297228	OKGE	EES	43	1/1/2016	1/1/2021	6/1/2018	6/1/2023	Note 4	Note 4	0	16SP
HZN	AG2-2013-012	78297229	OKGE	EES	43	1/1/2016	1/1/2021	1/1/2016	1/1/2021	Note 4	Note 4	0	16SP
KCPS	AG2-2013-013	78297422	KCPL	KCPL	5974	6/1/2014	6/1/2029	6/1/2015	6/1/2030	6/1/2015	6/1/2030	0	14SP
KCPS	AG2-2013-014	78297429	KCPL	KCPL	5914	6/1/2014	6/1/2029	6/1/2015	6/1/2030	6/1/2015	6/1/2030	0	14SP
KCPS	AG2-2013-015	78297445	WR	KCPL	743	6/1/2014	6/1/2029	6/1/2015	6/1/2030	6/1/2015	6/1/2030	0	14SP
KCPS	AG2-2013-016	78297452	WPEK	KCPL	101	6/1/2014	6/1/2020	6/1/2015	6/1/2021	6/1/2015	6/1/2021	0	14SP
KCPS	AG2-2013-017	78297459	WPEK	KCPL	60	6/1/2014	10/1/2018	6/1/2015	10/1/2019	6/1/2015	10/1/2019	0	14SP
KCPS	AG2-2013-018	78297542	NPPD	KCPL	62	6/1/2014	1/1/2024	6/1/2015	1/1/2025	6/1/2015	1/1/2025	0	14SP
KCPS	AG2-2013-019	78297546	SECI	KCPL	32	6/1/2014	4/1/2032	6/1/2015	4/1/2033	6/1/2015	4/1/2033	0	14SP
KCPS	AG2-2013-020	78297553	WPEK	KCPL	100	6/1/2014	4/1/2032	6/1/2015	4/1/2033	6/1/2015	4/1/2033	0	14SP
KCPS	AG2-2013-021	78297555	WPEK	KCPL	50	6/1/2014	4/1/2032	6/1/2015	4/1/2033	6/1/2015	4/1/2033	0	14SP
KCPS	AG2-2013-023	78315409	EES	KCPL	300	6/1/2014	6/1/2031	6/1/2015	6/1/2032	6/1/2015	6/1/2032	0	14SP
MIDW	AG2-2013-028	78053092	WR	WR	1	1/1/2014	1/1/2019	1/1/2015	1/1/2020	1/1/2015	1/1/2020	0	14SP
OGE	AG2-2013-029	78332271	OKGE	OKGE	74	12/1/2013	6/1/2030	1/1/2015	7/1/2031	1/1/2015	7/1/2031	0	14SP
OMPA	AG2-2013-030	78294577	OKGE	OKGE	29	12/1/2013	6/1/2030	1/1/2015	7/1/2031	1/1/2015	7/1/2031	0	14SP
·		·			14024		·				·		

Note 1: Start and Stop Dates with interim redispatch are determined based on customers choosing option to pursue redispatch to start service at Requested Start and Stop Dates or earliest date possible.

Note 2: Start dates with and without redispatch are based on the assumed completion dates of previous Aggregate Transmission Service Studies currently being conducted. Actual start dates may differ from the potential start dates upon completion of the previous studies.

Note 3: Request is unable to be deferred due to fixed stop dates.

Note 4: Transmission customer did not select "remain in the study using interim redispatch" option.

Table 2 - Total Revenue Requirements Associated with Long-Term Transmission Service Requests

Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Engineering and Construction Cost of Upgrades Allocated to Customer for Revenue Requirements	¹ Letter of Credit Amount Required	² Potential Base Plan Engineering and Construction Funding Allowable	Notes	⁴ Additional Engineering and Construction Cost for 3rd Party Upgrades	^{3 5} Total Revenue Requirements for Assigned Upgrades Over Term of Reservation WITH Potential Base Plan Funding Allocation	Point-to-Point Base Rate Over Reservation Period	⁴ Total Cost of Reservation Assignable to Customer Contingent Upon Base Plan Funding
AECC	AG2-2013-001	78296916	\$0	\$0	· ·		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
AECC	AG2-2013-002	78296940	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
GRDX	AG2-2013-004	78295225	\$0	\$0	· ·		Indeterminate	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
GSECGS	AG2-2013-005	78291873	\$400,040	\$0	\$400,040		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
HZN	AG2-2013-010	78297226	\$0	\$0		6,7	\$98,548			\$3,038,208
HZN	AG2-2013-011	78297228	\$0	\$0	\$0	6,7	\$101,452	\$0	\$3,038,208	\$3,038,208
HZN	AG2-2013-012	78297229	\$0	\$0	\$0	6,7	\$0	\$0	\$3,038,208	\$3,038,208
KCPS	AG2-2013-013	78297422	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
KCPS	AG2-2013-014	78297429	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
KCPS	AG2-2013-015	78297445	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
KCPS	AG2-2013-016	78297452	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
KCPS	AG2-2013-017	78297459	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
KCPS	AG2-2013-018	78297542	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
KCPS	AG2-2013-019	78297546	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
KCPS	AG2-2013-020	78297553	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
KCPS	AG2-2013-021	78297555	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
KCPS	AG2-2013-023	78315409	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
MIDW	AG2-2013-028	78053092	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
OGE	AG2-2013-029	78332271	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
OMPA	AG2-2013-030	78294577	\$0	\$0	\$0	•	\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges
Grand Total			\$400,040	·	\$400,040			\$0		,

Note 1: Letter of Credit required for financial security for transmission owner for network upgrades is determined by allocated engineering and construction costs less engineering and construction costs for upgrades when network customer is the transmission owner less the E & C allocation of expedited projects. Letter of Credit is required for upgrades assigned to PTP requests. The amount of the letter of credit will be adjusted down on an annual basis to reflect cost recovery based on revenue allocation. This letter of credit is not required for those facilities that are fully base plan funded. The Letter Of Credit Amount listed is based on meeting OATT Attachment J requirements for base plan funding.

Note 2: If potential base plan funding is applicable, this value is the lesser of the Engineering and Construction costs of assignable upgrades or the value of base plan funding calculated pursuant to Attachment J, Section III B criteria. Allocation of base plan funding is contingent upon verification of customer agreements meeting Attachment J, Section II B criteria. Not applicable if Point-to-Point base rate exceeds revenue requirements.

Note 3: Revenue Requirements (RR) are based upon deferred end dates if applicable. Deferred dates are based upon customer's choice to pursue redispatch. Achievable Base Plan Avoided RR in the case of a Base Plan upgrade being displaced or deferred by an earlier in service date for a Requested Upgrade shall be determined per Attachment J, Section VII.C methodology. Assumption of a 40 year service life is utilized for Base Plan funded projects. A present worth analysis of RR on a common year basis between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades was performed to determine avoided Base Plan RR due to the displacement or deferral of the Base Plan upgrade by the Requested Upgrade. The incremental increase in present worth of a Requested Upgrade on a common year basis as a Base Plan upgrade is assigned to the transmission requests impacting the upgrade based on the displacement or deferral. If the displacement analysis results in lower RR due to the shorter amortization period of the requested upgrade when compared to a base plan amortization period, then no direct assignment of the upgrade cost is made due to the displacement to an earlier start date.

Note 4: For Point-to-Point requests, total cost is based on the higher of the base rate or assigned upgrade revenue requirements. For Network requests, the total cost is based on the assigned upgrade revenue requirement. Allocation of base plan funding will be determined after verification of designated resource meeting Attachment J, Section II B Criteria. Additionally E & C of 3rd Party upgrades is assignable to Customer. This includes prepayments required for any SWPA upgrades. Revenue requirements for 3rd Party facilities are not calculated. Total cost to customer is based on assumption of Revenue Requirements with confirmation of base plan funding. Customer is responsible for negotiating redispatch costs if applicable. Customer is also responsible to pay credits for previously assigned upgrades that are impacted by their request. Credits can be paid from base plan funding if applicable.

Note 5: RR with base plan funding may increase or decrease even if no base plan funding is applicable to a particular request if another request that shares the upgrade is now full base plan funded resulting in a different amortization period for the upgrade and thus different RR.

Note 6: Mutually exclusive requests: 78297226, 78297228, and 78297229. System impacts were identified by only modeling mutually exclusive request 78297226.

Note 7: ATSS cost allocation includes all customers' mutually exclusive requests in SPP-2013-AG2.

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
AECC	78296916	SPA	CSWS	100	7/1/2015	7/1/2020			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
78296916	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78296916	ASHDOWN REC (MILLWOOD) - OKAY 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	ASHDOWN REC (MILLWOOD) - PATTERSON 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	MANDEVILTP4 - SE TEXARKANA 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	MANDEVILTP4 - TURK 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	MCNAB REC - TURK 115KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	OKAY - TURK 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	SUGAR HILL - TURK 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number AECC AG2-2013-002

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
AECC	78296940	SPA	OKGE	100	7/1/2015	7/1/2020			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

			Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue	
Reservation Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements	
78296940 None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -]
				Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$.	1

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

**Reservation 78296940 studied as resevation 78296916

Customer Study Number GRDX AG2-2013-004

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
GRDX	78295225	OKGE	GRDA	53	12/1/2013	6/1/2030	1/1/2015	7/1/2031	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

		Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue	
Reservation		Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements	
78295225 None			\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
		Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-

 $Reliability \ Projects - The \ requested \ service \ is \ contingent \ upon \ completion \ of \ the \ following \ upgrades. \ Cost \ is \ not \ assignable \ to \ the \ transmission \ customer.$

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78295225	CLAREMORE (CLRAUTO1) 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
	CLAREMORE (CLRAUTO2) 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
	Solution(s) for 2015ITPNT-RON0114, 2015ITPNT-RON0115, 2015ITPNT-RON0116, 2015ITPNT-RON0117, 2	6/1/2015	TBD		
	Solution(s) for 2015ITP10-RON0015, 2015ITP10-RON0016, 2015ITPNT-RON0742, and 2015ITPNT-RON074	6/1/2017	TBD		
	Solution(s) for 2015ITPNT-RON0114, 2015ITPNT-RON0115, 2015ITPNT-RON0116, 2015ITPNT-RON0117, 2	6/1/2015	TBD		

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78295225	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	SUB 110 - ORONOGO JCT SUB 167 - RIVERTON 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

Third Party Limitations.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	*Allocated E & C	
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	*Total E & C Cost
78295225	4MEMORAL 138.00 - LUTHER 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021			100.00%	100.00%
	LUTHER 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021			100.00%	100.00%
	SUB 184 - NEOSHO SOUTH JCT. 161/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021			100.00%	100.00%
					Total	\$ -	\$ -

^{*}Estimated cost allocation as a percentage of total cost is shown for third-party limitations when costs have not yet been established by the third-party.

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
GSECGS	78291873	SPS	SPS	202	1/1/2017	1/1/2043	6/1/2020	6/1/2046	\$ 400,040	\$ -	\$ 400,040	\$ 1,998,072
									\$ 400,040	\$ -	\$ 400,040	\$ 1,998,072

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocate	d E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost		Total E & C Cost	Requirements
78291873	TUCO INTERCHANGE (GE M102345) 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 Acceleration	6/1/2017	6/1/2017			\$	400,040	\$ 400,040	\$ 1,998,072
					Total	\$	400 040	\$ 400.040	\$ 1,998,072

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78291873	CARLISLE INTERCHANGE (WH XHS70711) 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2023	6/1/2023		
	HEREFORD INTERCHANGE (PENN C004705) 115/69/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
	HEREFORD INTERCHANGE (PENN C019505) 115/69/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
	LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE - SOUTH PLAINS REC-WOODROW INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2023	6/1/2023		
	MURPHY SUB - South Plains REC-Frankford Sub 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2023	6/1/2023		
	SUNDOWN INTERCHANGE (WH XDS70381) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2023	6/1/2023		
	WOLFFORTH INTERCHANGE (WH 7001668) 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2023	6/1/2023		

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78291873	Andrews - Battle Axe 345 kV Ckt 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2020		No
	Andrews - Hobbs 345 kV Ckt 1 Voltage Conversion	6/1/2017	6/1/2020		No
	Andrews 345/115 kV Ckt 1 Transformer	6/1/2017	6/1/2020		No
	Battle Axe - China Draw 345 kV Ckt 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2020		No
	Battle Axe - Road Runner 345 kV Ckt 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2020		No
	Battle Axe 345 kV Ckt 1 Terminal Upgrades	6/1/2017	6/1/2020		No
	Battle Axe 345/115 kV Ckt 1 Transformer	6/1/2017	6/1/2020		No
	China Draw 345 kV Ckt 1 Terminal Upgrades #2 (Battle Axe)	6/1/2017	6/1/2020		No
	MULTI - Tuco-New Deal 345 kV	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
	Solution (s) for 2015ITPNT-RON0013 and 2015ITP10-RON1763	10/1/2017	TBD		
	Solution(s) for 2015ITP10-RON1830, 2015ITP10-RON1831, 2015ITP10-RON1832, and 2015ITP10-RON183	1/1/2017	TBD	10/1/2016	

Planned Projects

					Earliest Start	Redispatch
	Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
ſ	78291873	Indiana - Stanton 115 kV Ckt 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
ſ		Tuco - Lubbock East 115kV	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
		Tuco - Stanton 115kV Ckt 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

^{**}MULTI - Tuco-New Deal 345kV - SPS is reviewing the feasibility of least cost alternatives.

Customer Study Number HZN AG2-2013-010

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
HZN	78297226	OKGE	EES	43	1/1/2016	1/1/2021	6/1/2018	6/1/2023	\$ -	\$ 3,038,208	\$ -	\$ -
		•	•	•	•	•		•	\$ -	\$ 3,038,208	Ś -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
78297226	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	Ś

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78297226	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	SUB 110 - ORONOGO JCT SUB 167 - RIVERTON 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		

Third Party Limitations.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	*Allocated E & 0	
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	*Total E & C Cost
78297226	CLAY - SPRINGFIELD 161KV CKT 1 SWPA #2	1/1/2016	6/1/2018			\$ 98,54	\$ \$ 200,000
					Total	\$ 98.54	\$ 200,000

^{*}Estimated cost allocation as a percentage of total cost is shown for third-party limitations when costs have not yet been established by the third-party.

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number HZN AG2-2013-011

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
HZN	78297228	OKGE	EES	43	1/1/2016	1/1/2021	6/1/2018	6/1/2023	\$ -	\$ 3,038,208	\$ -	\$ -
			•	•	•		•	•	\$ -	\$ 3,038,208	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
78297228	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
•					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78297228	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	SUB 110 - ORONOGO JCT SUB 167 - RIVERTON 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		

Third Party Limitations.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	*Allocate	ed E & C	
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost		*Total E & C Cost
78297228	CLAY - SPRINGFIELD 161KV CKT 1 SWPA #2	1/1/2016	6/1/2018			\$	101,452	\$ 200,000
					Total	\$	101 452	\$ 200,000

^{*}Estimated cost allocation as a percentage of total cost is shown for third-party limitations when costs have not yet been established by the third-party.

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number HZN AG2-2013-012

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
HZN	78297229	OKGE	EES	43	1/1/2016	1/1/2021			\$ -	\$ 3,038,208	\$ -	\$ -
		•					•		\$ -	\$ 3,038,208	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
78297229	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	Ś

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78297229	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	SUB 110 - ORONOGO JCT SUB 167 - RIVERTON 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

 Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KCPS	78297422	KCPL	KCPL	5974	6/1/2014	6/1/2029	6/1/2015	6/1/2030	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

			Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue	
Reservation Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements	
78297422 None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
				Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	1

 $[\]hbox{*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades}.$

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KCPS	78297429	KCPL	KCPL	5914	6/1/2014	6/1/2029	6/1/2015	6/1/2030	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		•		•	•	•	•	•	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
78297429	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
•					Total	ς -	ς -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78297429	EASTOWN7 345.00 (EASTOWN 345) 345/161/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	5/1/2014	5/1/2014		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	SUB 110 - ORONOGO JCT SUB 167 - RIVERTON 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

 Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KCPS	78297445	WR	KCPL	743	6/1/2014	6/1/2029	6/1/2015	6/1/2030	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue	
Reservation Upgrade Name	D	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements	
78297445 None						\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
•					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-

 $[\]hbox{*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades}.$

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KCPS	78297452	WPEK	KCPL	101	6/1/2014	6/1/2020	6/1/2015	6/1/2021	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Base Plan	Directly Assigned	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Funding for Wind		Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
7829745	2 None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78297452	Multi - Gentleman - Cherry Co Holt Co. 345 kV	10/1/2016	1/1/2018		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KCPS	78297459	WPEK	KCPL	60	6/1/2014	10/1/2018	6/1/2015	10/1/2019	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Base Plan	Directly Assigned	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Funding for Wind		Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
7829745	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ś -

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KCPS	78297542	NPPD	KCPL	62	6/1/2014	1/1/2024	6/1/2015	1/1/2025	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
78297542	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	Ś

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78297542	Multi - Gentleman - Cherry Co Holt Co. 345 kV	10/1/2016	1/1/2018		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KCPS	78297546	SECI	KCPL	32	6/1/2014	4/1/2032	6/1/2015	4/1/2033	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Base Plan	Directly Assigned	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Funding for Wind		Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
7829754	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ś -	Ś -

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KCPS	78297553	WPEK	KCPL	100	6/1/2014	4/1/2032	6/1/2015	4/1/2033	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ś -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Base Plan	Directly Assigned	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date		Funding for Wind	, .	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
7829755	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
					Total	ć	ć	ć	ć	ć

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KCPS	78297555	WPEK	KCPL	50	6/1/2014	4/1/2032	6/1/2015	4/1/2033	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Base Plan	Directly Assigned	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date		Funding for Wind	, .	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
7829755	None None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
					Total	ć	ć	ć	ė	ć

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

 Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KCPS	78315409	EES	KCPL	300	6/1/2014	6/1/2031	6/1/2015	6/1/2032	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

			Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue	
Reservation Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements	
78315409 None					\$ -	\$ -	\$.]
				Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$.	.]

 $[\]hbox{*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades}.$

Customer Study Number MIDW AG2-2013-028

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
MIDW	78053092	WR	WR	1	1/1/2014	1/1/2019	1/1/2015	1/1/2020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		•		•	•	•	•	•	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
78053092	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
•					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78053092	Multi - Gentleman - Cherry Co Holt Co. 345 kV	10/1/2016	1/1/2018		

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78053092	ALEXANDER - PRATT 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	BARBER - SAWYER 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	BARBER (BARBER 4) 138/115/2.72KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	FLATRDG3 138.00 - MEDICINE LODGE 138KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number OGE AG2-2013-029

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
OGE	78332271	OKGE	OKGE	74	12/1/2013	6/1/2030	1/1/2015	7/1/2031	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
78332271	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
•					Total	ς -	ς -	\$ -

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

							Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reser	rvation	Upgrade Name			DUN	EOC	Date	Available
	78332271	Park Lane - Seminole 138 kV Ckt 1			6/1/2015	6/1/2017		

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78332271	BEELINE - EXPLORER GLENPOOL TAP 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	EXPLORER GLENPOOL TAP - RIVERSIDE STATION 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	EXPLORER GLENPOOL TAP - RIVERSIDE STATION 138KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
OMPA	78294577	OKGE	OKGE	29	12/1/2013	6/1/2030	1/1/2015	7/1/2031	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
78294577	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
						\$ -	\$ -	Ś

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78294577	OMPA-MARLOW - RUSH SPRINGS TAP 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
78294577	CANTON - TALOGA 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2013		
	FT SUPPLY 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	12/1/2006	6/1/2008		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TALOGA (TALOGA) 138/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	10/1/2010	6/1/2013		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 2	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

Table 4 - Upgrade Requirements and Solutions Needed to Provide Transmission Service for the Aggregate Study

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Upgrade Required		Estimated Engineering & Construction Cost
		Replace 1st 230/115 kV transformer at Tuco with 230/115 kV 288 MVA			
SPS	TUCO INTERCHANGE (GE M102345) 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 Acceleration	transformer.	6/1/2017	6/1/2017	\$400,040.00

Planned Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Upgrade Solution L		Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
SPS	Indiana - Stanton 115 kV Ckt 1	Reconductor 1.5 miles line from Indiana to Stanton.	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
		Build new 22.77-mile 115kV line between Lubbock and the low side of new		
SPS	Tuco - Lubbock East 115kV	transformer between Tuco and Lubbock East.	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
		Build new 17-mile 115kV line between Stanton and low side of new		
SPS	Tuco - Stanton 115kV Ckt 1	transformer between Tuco and Stanton.	6/1/2021	6/1/2021

Expansion Plan Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
SPS	CARLISLE INTERCHANGE (WH XHS70711) 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Increase Carlisle 230/115 kV transformer to 250 MVA.	6/1/2023	6/1/2023
SPS	HEREFORD INTERCHANGE (PENN C004705) 115/69/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Upgrade 2nd 115/69 kV transformer at Hereford to 84 MVA.	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
SPS	HEREFORD INTERCHANGE (PENN C019505) 115/69/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Upgrade 1st 115/69 kV transformer at Hereford to 84 MVA.	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
		Reconductor Line - 5.98 miles. 115 kV - Lubbock South - Woodrow for		
SPS	LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE - SOUTH PLAINS REC-WOODROW INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	477ACSS rated 276/304 MVA	6/1/2023	6/1/2023
SPS	MURPHY SUB - South Plains REC-Frankford Sub 115KV CKT 1	Increase Murphy to Frankfrd 115 kV line rating to 159/160 MVA.	6/1/2023	6/1/2023
SPS	SUNDOWN INTERCHANGE (WH XDS70381) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Increase Sundown 230/115 kV transformer to 250 MVA	6/1/2023	6/1/2023
SPS	WOLFFORTH INTERCHANGE (WH 7001668) 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Increase 230/115 kV transformer Wolfforth to 250 MVA.	6/1/2023	6/1/2023

Transmission Owner		Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Completion (EOC)
AEPW	OMPA-MARLOW - RUSH SPRINGS TAP 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 8.59 miles with 1533.3 ACSR/TW	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
GRDA	CLAREMORE (CLRAUTO1) 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Upgrade the 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER to 210 MVA	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
GRDA	CLAREMORE (CLRAUTO2) 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Upgrade the 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER to 210 MVA	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
NPPD	Multi - Gentleman - Cherry Co Holt Co. 345 kV	Build new 222 mile, 345 kV line from Gentleman - Cherry Co - Holt Co. Build new 345 kV substations at Cherry Co and Holt Co. Terminal upgrades at Gentleman. This project is contingent upon WAPA approval to tap the Grand Island - Fort Thompson 345 kV line.	10/1/2016	1/1/2018
OKGE	Park Lane - Seminole 138 kV Ckt 1	Replace terminal equipment.	6/1/2015	6/1/2017
01.02	. Give Latin Committee 156 NV Cite 1	Construct new 70-mile 345 kV line from Battle Axe to Andrews. Line will be	0,1,2013	0/1/201/
SPS	Andrews - Battle Axe 345 kV Ckt 1	routed adjacent to Toboso Flats 115 kV substation.	6/1/2017	6/1/2020
SPS	Andrews - Hobbs 345 kV Ckt 1 Voltage Conversion	Convert existing 30.5-mile 230 kV line from Andrews to Hobbs to 345 kV. Re-terminate line on 345 kV bus at Hobbs. Ratings will be based on current conductors - bundled 795 ACSR.	6/1/2017	6/1/2020
		Install new 345/115 kV 448 MVA transformer at Andrews substaton and	0, 2, 2 2 2 :	5, 2, 2020
		remove two 230/115 kV transformers. Install any necessary 115 kV		
SPS	Andrews 345/115 kV Ckt 1 Transformer	terminal equipment.	6/1/2017	6/1/2020
		Construct new 35-mile 345 kV line from Battle Axe to China Draw. Install	0,2,202	2, 2, 2020
SPS	Battle Axe - China Draw 345 kV Ckt 1	345 kV breakers and sub as needed for termination.	6/1/2017	6/1/2020
		Construct new 15-mile 345 kV line from Battle Axe to Road Runner. Install		
		345 kV bus at Road Runner for 4 transformer or line terminations,		
SPS	Battle Axe - Road Runner 345 kV Ckt 1	expandable for future terminations.	6/1/2017	6/1/2020
SPS	Battle Axe 345 kV Ckt 1 Terminal Upgrades	Construct new 345 kV terminal at the Battle Axe substation. Install any necessary 345 kV terminal equipment for 4 transformer/line terminations in ring configuration expandable to future breaker and a half.	6/1/2017	6/1/2020
		Install new 345/115 kV 448 MVA transformer at new Battle Axe substaton.		
SPS	Battle Axe 345/115 kV Ckt 1 Transformer	Install any necessary 115 kV terminal equipment as expandable breaker and half design.	6/1/2017	6/1/2020
SPS	China Draw 345 kV Ckt 1 Terminal Upgrades #2 (Battle Axe)	Install 345 kV terminal at China Draw to terminate the new 345 kV line from Battle Axe. Install any necessary 345 kV terminal equipment.	6/1/2017	6/1/2020
		New 345/115kV transformer between Tuco and Stanton; build new 345kV line between Tuco and high side of new transformer between Tuco and Stanton; build new 115kV line between Stanton and low side of new transformer between Tuco and Stanton. SPS is reviewing the feasibility of		
SPS	MULTI - Tuco-New Deal 345 kV	least cost alternatives.	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
TBD	Solution(s) for 2015ITPNT-RON0114, 2015ITPNT-RON0115, 2015ITPNT-RON0116, 2015ITPNT-RON0117, 2015ITPNT-RON0		6/1/2015	TBD
TBD	Solution (s) for 2015ITPNT-RON0013 and 2015ITP10-RON1763	Solution (s) for 2015ITPNT-RON0013 and 2015ITP10-RON1763	10/1/2017	TBD
TBD	Solution(s) for 2015ITP10-RON0015, 2015ITP10-RON0016, 2015ITPNT-RON0742, and 2015ITPNT-RON0743	Solution(s) for 2015/TP10-RON0015, 2015/TP10-RON0016, 2015/TPNT-RON00742, and 2015/TPNT-RON00743	6/1/2017	TBD
TDD	Call #: an /a) for 2015 ITD10 DOM1020 2015 ITD10 DOM1021 2015 ITD10 DOM1022 and 2015 ITD10 DOM1022	Solution(s) for 2015ITP10-RON1830, 2015ITP10-RON1831, 2015ITP10-	1/1/2017	TDD
TBD	Solution(s) for 2015ITP10-RON1830, 2015ITP10-RON1831, 2015ITP10-RON1832, and 2015ITP10-RON1833 Solution(s) for 2015ITPNT-RON0114, 2015ITPNT-RON0115, 2015ITPNT-RON0116, 2015ITPNT-RON0117, 2015ITPNT-RON0118, 2015ITPNT-RON0119, 2015ITPNT-RON0120, 2015ITPNT-RON0121, 2015ITPNT-RON0122, 2015ITPNT-RON0120, 2015ITPNT-RON0121, 2015ITPNT-RON0122, 2015ITPNT-RON0120, 2015ITPNT-RON01	RON1832, and 2015IFP10-RON1833 Solution(s) for 2015IFPNT-RON0114, 2015IFPNT-RON0115, 2015IFPNT-RON0116, 2015IFPNT-RON0117, 2015IFPNT-RON0118, 2015IFPNT-RON0119, 2015IFPNT-RON0120, 2015IFPNT-RON0121, 2015IFPNT-RON0122, 2015IFPNT-RON0123, 2015IFPNT-RON0124, 201	1/1/2017	TBD
TBD	RON0118, 201511PN1-RON0119, 201511PN1-RON0120, 201511PN1-RON0121, 201511PN1-RON0122, 201511PN1-RON0122, 201511PN1-	RON0122, 201511PN1-RON0123, 201511PN1-RON0124, 201511PN1- RON0125, 201511PNT-R	6/1/2015	TBD
	RON0123, 2015 PN1-RON0124, 2015 PN1-RON0125, 2015 PN1-R lution(s) for TBD ("to be determined") Reliability Projects contain the related Need Identification numbers used in the 201			

^{*}The Upgrade and Solution(s) for TBD ("to be determined") Reliability Projects contain the related Need Identification numbers used in the 2015 Integrated Transmission Planning Near-Term Assessment and 2015 Integrated Transmission Planning 10-Year Assessment. The designated Transmission Owner(s), Upgrade(s), and respective Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion has yet to be determined."

Table 4 - Upgrade Requirements and Solutions Needed to Provide Transmission Service for the Aggregate Study

Network Upgrades requiring credits per Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
		Recunductor and convert line to 138 kV and replace switches at Ashdown		
AEPW	ASHDOWN REC (MILLWOOD) - OKAY 138KV CKT 1	REC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
		Reconductor Line & Convert Line to 138 kV and convert Patterson station		
AEPW	ASHDOWN REC (MILLWOOD) - PATTERSON 138KV CKT 1	to breaker-and-a half cofiguration	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
		Reconductor 1.82 miles with ACCC. Replace wave trap jumpers at		
AEPW	EXPLORER GLENPOOL TAP - RIVERSIDE STATION 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	Riverside.	6/1/2009	6/1/2009
AEPW	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	Vallient 345 KV line terminal	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
		Build new Turk-SE Texarkana 138 kV line and add SE Texarkana 138 kV		
AEPW	MANDEVILTP4 - SE TEXARKANA 138KV CKT 1	terminal.	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
		Build new Turk-SE Texarkana 138 kV line and add SE Texarkana 138 kV		
AEPW	MANDEVILTP4 - TURK 138KV CKT 1	terminal.	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
		Build a new two mile, 138 kV, 1590 ACSR line section (operated at 115 kV)		
		from Turk Substation to the existing Okay- Hope 115 kV line to form a Turk	_ /. /	
AEPW	MCNAB REC - TURK 115KV CKT 1	- Hope 115 kV line.	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
AEPW	OKAY - TURK 138KV CKT 1	Build two mile, 138 kV, 1590ACSR line section from Turk Sub to existing Okay-Hope 115 kV line and rebuild twelve miles of 115 kV line to Okay Sub to 138 kV, 1590 ACSR, to form a Turk-Okay 138 kV line	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
ALFW	ONAL - TONK 138NV CKT 1	to 136 kV, 1390 ACSIV, to form a fulk-okay 136 kV line	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
AEPW	SUGAR HILL - TURK 138KV CKT 1	Build new Turk-Sugar Hill 138 kV line and add Sugar Hill 138 kV terminal.	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
EMDE	SUB 110 - ORONOGO JCT SUB 167 - RIVERTON 161KV CKT 1	Reconductor Oronogo 59467 to Riverton 59469 with Bundled 556 ACSR	6/1/2011	6/1/2011
KACP	EASTOWN7 345.00 (EASTOWN 345) 345/161/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Replace 715 MVA transformer.	5/1/2014	5/1/2014
KACP	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	KCPL Sponsored Project to Reconductor Line to be In-Service by 6/1/2006	6/1/2006	6/1/2006
MKEC	ALEXANDER - PRATT 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild line	12/1/2009	6/1/2013
MKEC	BARBER - SAWYER 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild line	12/1/2009	6/1/2013
MKEC	BARBER (BARBER 4) 138/115/2.72KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Upgrade transformer	12/1/2009	6/1/2013
MKEC	FLATRDG3 138.00 - MEDICINE LODGE 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 8.05 mile line	12/1/2009	6/1/2013
OKGE	BEELINE - EXPLORER GLENPOOL TAP 138KV CKT 1	Reconductor .92miles of line with Drake ACCC/TW.	6/1/2009	6/1/2009
OKGE	EXPLORER GLENPOOL TAP - RIVERSIDE STATION 138KV CKT 1 OKGE	Reconductor 1.82 miles line with Drake ACCC/TW.	6/1/2009	6/1/2009
OKGE	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	Build 345 kV line	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	Build 345 kV line	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	Build .5 miles of 138 kV and install terminal equipment	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 2	Build .5 miles of 138 kV and install terminal equipment	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Install 345/138 kV XF	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
WFEC	CANTON - TALOGA 69KV CKT 1	UPGRADE CANTON TO TALOGA TO 336.4	6/1/2011	6/1/2013
WFEC	FT SUPPLY 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Install 2nd 70 MVA auto at Ft Supply	12/1/2006	6/1/2008
WFEC	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	New 19 miles 345 KV	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
WFEC	TALOGA (TALOGA) 138/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Auto XFMR 56 to 112MVA	10/1/2010	6/1/2013

Table 5 - Third Party Facility Constraints

Transmission Owner	UpgradeName	Solution	Upgrade Required	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)	Estimated Engineering & Construction Cost
AECI	4MEMORAL 138.00 - LUTHER 138KV CKT 1	Indeterminate	6/1/2021	6/1/2021	Indeterminate
AECI	LUTHER 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Indeterminate	6/1/2021	6/1/2021	Indeterminate
AECI	SUB 184 - NEOSHO SOUTH JCT. 161/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Indeterminate	6/1/2021	6/1/2021	Indeterminate
SWPA	CLAY - SPRINGFIELD 161KV CKT 1 SWPA #2	Replace four structures	1/1/2016	6/1/2018	\$200,000.00

Upgrade Name	Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Allocation Percentage	Allocated E & C Cost
TUCO INTERCHANGE (GE M102345) 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 Acceleration	GSECGS	AG2-2013-005	78291873	100.00%	\$400,040
•				Total:	\$400.040