

Screening Study SPP-LTSR-2011-003

For OASIS Request #75586536

MAINTAINED BY
SPP Engineering, SPP Transmission Service Studies
July 22, 2011

Copyright © 2011 by Southwest Power Pool, Inc. All rights reserved.



Table of Contents

Executive Summary	2
Introduction.....	3
Study Methodology	4
Description	4
Model Updates	4
Transfer Analysis.....	5
Study Results.....	6
Study Analysis Results.....	6
Conclusion	7
Appendix A.....	8

Executive Summary

Cargill has requested a screening study to determine the impacts on SPP facilities due to a Long Term Service Request of 202 MW. The service type requested for this screening study is Long Term Service Request (LTSR). The period of the service requested is from 6/30/2012 to 6/30/2032.

The principal objective of this study is to identify system problems and potential system modifications necessary to facilitate the LTSR request while maintaining system reliability. The LTSR request was studied using two system scenarios. The service was modeled by a transfer from SPS to EES. The two scenarios were studied to capture system limitations caused or impacted by the requested service. An analysis was conducted on the planning horizon from 6/30/2012 to 6/30/2032.

Facilities on the SPP system were identified for the requested service due to the SPP Study Methodology criteria. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the results of the screening study analysis for the transfer for the scenarios listed in the table. Table 1 lists SPP thermal transfer limitations identified. Table 2 lists SPP voltage transfer limitations identified. Table 3 lists the network upgrades required to mitigate the limitations impacted by this request.

Introduction

Cargill has requested a screening study to determine the impacts on SPP facilities for a Long Term Service Request of 202 MW.

The purpose of the LTSR Option Screening Study is to provide the Eligible Customer with an approximation of the transmission remediation costs of each potential LTSR and a reasonable cost differential between alternatives for the purpose of an Eligible Customer's ranking of its potential LTSRs. The results of the Screening Study are not binding and the Eligible Customer retains the rights to enter the Aggregate Transmission Service Study. The Screening Study results will not assess the third party impacts and upgrades required. Service will not be granted based on the Screening Study for potential LTSRs on the Transmission System. To obtain a Service Agreement, Eligible Customers must apply for service and follow the application process set forth in Parts II and III of the Tariff.

This study includes steady-state contingency analysis (PSS/E function ACCC). The steady-state analysis considers the impact of the request on transmission line and transformer loadings for outages of single transmission lines, transformers, and generating units, and selected multiple transmission lines and transformers on the SPP and first-tier third party systems.

The LTSR request was studied using two system scenarios. The service was modeled by a transfer from SPS to EES. The two scenarios were studied to capture the system limitations caused or impacted by the requested service. Scenario 0 includes projected usage of transmission service included in the SPP 2010 Series Cases. Scenario 5 includes transmission service not already included in the SPP 2010 Series Cases.

Study Methodology

Description

The facility study analysis was conducted to determine the steady-state impact of the requested service on the SPP system. The steady-state analysis was performed to ensure current SPP Criteria and NERC Reliability Standards requirements are fulfilled. SPP conforms to NERC Reliability Standards, which provide strict requirements related to voltage violations and thermal overloads during normal conditions and during a contingency. NERC Standards require all facilities to be within normal operating ratings for normal system conditions and within emergency ratings after a contingency.

Normal operating ratings and emergency operating ratings monitored are Rate A and B in the SPP Model Development Working Group (MDWG) models, respectively. The upper bound and lower bound of the normal voltage range monitored is 105% and 95%. The upper bound and lower bound of the emergency voltage range monitored is 105% and 90%. Transmission Owner voltage monitoring criteria is used if more restrictive. The SPS Tuco 230 kV bus voltage is monitored at 92.5% due to pre-determined system stability limitations. The WERE Wolf Creek 345 kV bus voltage is monitored at 103.5% and 98.5% due to transmission operating procedure.

The contingency set includes all SPP control area branches and ties 69 kV and above; first tier non-SPP control area branches and ties 115 kV and above; any defined contingencies for these control areas; and generation unit outages for the control areas with SPP reserve share program redispatch. The monitor elements include all SPP control area branches, ties, and buses 69 kV. and above,. Voltage monitoring was performed for SPP control area buses 69 kV and above.

A 3 % transfer distribution factor (TDF) cutoff was applied to all SPP control area facilities. For voltage monitoring, a 0.02 per unit change in voltage must occur due to the transfer or modeling upgrades to be considered a valid limit to the transfer.

Model Updates

SPP used five seasonal models to study the SPS to EES 202 MW request for the requested service period. The following SPP Transmission Expansion Plan 2010 Build 2

Cases were used to study the impact of the requested service on the transmission system:

- 2012 Summer Peak (12SP)
- 2012/13 Winter Peak (12WP)
- 2016 Summer Peak (16SP)
- 2016/17 Winter Peak (16WP)
- 2021 Summer Peak (21SP)

The Summer Peak models apply to June through September and the Winter Peak models apply to December through March.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the current modeling information. From the five seasonal models, two system scenarios were developed. Scenario 0 includes projected usage of transmission included in the SPP 2010 Series Cases. Scenario 5 includes transmission not already included in the SPP 2010 Series Cases.

Transfer Analysis

Using the selected cases both with and without the requested transfer modeled, the PSS/E Activity ACCC was run on the cases and compared to determine the facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfer. Transfer distribution factor cutoffs and voltage threshold (0.02 change) were applied to determine the impacted facilities. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the analysis can be found in Appendix A.

Study Results

Study Analysis Results

Tables 1 and 2 contain the initial steady-state analysis results of the LTSR. The tables are attached to the end of this report, if applicable. The tables identify the scenario and season in which the event occurred, the transfer amount studied, the facility control area location, applicable ratings of the thermal transfer limitations and voltage transfer limitations, and the loading percentage and voltage per unit (pu).

Table 1 lists the SPP thermal transfer limitations caused or impacted by the 202 MW requested transfer for applicable scenarios. Solutions are identified for the limitations in this table.

Table 2 lists the SPP voltage transfer limitations caused or impacted by the 202 MW requested transfer for applicable scenarios. Solutions are identified for the violations in this table.

Table 3 lists the network upgrades required to mitigate the limitations caused or impacted by this request. Engineering and construction costs are provided for assigned upgrades in this table.

Conclusion

The results of the screening study show that limiting constraints exist within the SPP regional transmission system for the requested transfer of 202 MW. The next steps are to WITHDRAW the request on OASIS and, if desired, enter a new OASIS request into the aggregate study queue.

The results contained in this study are for informational purposes only. Service will not be granted based on the Screening Study results. To obtain a Service Agreement, Eligible Customers must apply for service and follow the application processes set forth in Parts II and III of the Tariff and enter the Aggregate Study process. The results of the Aggregate Study may vary from the results of this screening study.

As a final step in this process, it is requested that the customer WITHDRAW the LTSR screening study request on OASIS.

Appendix A

PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

BASE CASES:

- Solutions: Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution (FDNS)
- Tap adjustment: Stepping
- Area interchange control: Tie lines and loads
- VAR limits: Apply immediately
- Solution options:
 - Phase shift adjustment
 - Flat start
 - Lock DC taps
 - Lock switched shunts

ACCC CASES for system intact:

- Solutions: AC contingency checking (ACCC)
- MW mismatch tolerance: 0.5
- Contingency case rating: Rate A
- Percent of rating: 100
- Output code: Summary
- Min flow change in overload report: 3 MW
- Excl'd cases w/ no overloads form report: YES
- Exclude interfaces from report: NO
- Perform voltage limit check: YES
- Elements in available capacity table: 60000
- Cutoff threshold for available capacity table: 99999.0
- Min. contng. case Vltg chng for report: 0.02
- Sorted output: None
- Newton Solution:
- Tap adjustment: Stepping
- Area interchange control: Tie lines and loads
- VAR limits: Apply automatically
- Solution options:
 - Phase shift adjustment
 - Flat start
 - Lock DC taps
 - Lock switched shunts

ACCC CASES for branch and transformer contingencies:

- Solutions: AC contingency checking (ACCC)
- MW mismatch tolerance: 0.5
- Contingency case rating: Rate B
- Percent of rating: 100
- Output code: Summary

- Min flow change in overload report: 3mw
- Excl'd cases w/ no overloads from report: YES
- Exclude interfaces from report: NO
- Perform voltage limit check: YES
- Elements in available capacity table: 60000
- Cutoff threshold for available capacity table: 99999.0
- Min. contng. case Vltg chng for report: 0.02
- Sorted output: None
- Newton Solution:
- Tap adjustment: Stepping
- Area interchange control: Tie lines and loads
- VAR limits: Apply automatically
- Solution options:
 - X Phase shift adjustment
 - _ Flat start
 - _ Lock DC taps
 - _ Lock switched shunts

ACCC CASES for generator contingencies (largest machine at a bus):

- Solutions: AC contingency checking (ACCC)
- MW mismatch tolerance: 0.5
- Contingency case rating: Rate B
- Percent of rating: 100
- Output code: Summary
- Min flow change in overload report: 3mw
- Excl'd cases w/ no overloads from report: YES
- Exclude interfaces from report: NO
- Perform voltage limit check: YES
- Elements in available capacity table: 60000
- Cutoff threshold for available capacity table: 99999.0
- Min. contng. case Vltg chng for report: 0.02
- Sorted output: None
- Newton Solution:
- Tap adjustment: Stepping
- Area interchange control: Disabled
- Var limits: Apply automatically
- Solution options:
 - X Phase shift adjustment
 - _ Flat start
 - _ Lock DC taps
 - _ Lock switched shunts

SPP-LTSR-2011-003
Table 1- SPP Facility Thermal Transfer Limitations

Scenario	Season	From Area	To Area	Monitored Branch Over 100% Rate B	Transfer Case % Loading	TDF (%)	Outaged Branch Causing Overload	Upgrade Name	Solution
5	12WP	SUNC	WERE	CLEARWATER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	106.3	4.0%	MEDICINE LODGE - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	CLEARWATER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 MKEC	Rebuild MKEC portion of the Clearwater-Milan tap 115 KV
5	12WP	SUNC	WERE	CLEARWATER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	106.2	4.0%	PRATT - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	CLEARWATER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 MKEC	Rebuild MKEC portion of the Clearwater-Milan tap 115 KV
5	16SP	KACP	AECI	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1	109.9	3.9%	OVERTON-TRF	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1 AECI	Upgrade limiting terminal equipment at Clinton
5	16SP	KACP	AECI	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1	109.0	4.0%	7OVERTON 345.00 - SIBLEY 345KV CKT 1	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1 AECI	Upgrade limiting terminal equipment at Clinton
5	16SP	KACP	AECI	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1	106.8	3.2%	ADRIAN - ARCHIE 161KV CKT 1	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1 AECI	Upgrade limiting terminal equipment at Clinton
5	16SP	KACP	AECI	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1	101.1	3.0%	IATAN - ST JOE 345KV CKT 1	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1 AECI	Upgrade limiting terminal equipment at Clinton
5	16WP	KACP	AECI	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1	103.7	3.9%	OVERTON-TRF	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1 AECI	Upgrade limiting terminal equipment at Clinton
5	16WP	KACP	AECI	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1	103.0	4.0%	7OVERTON 345.00 - SIBLEY 345KV CKT 1	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1 AECI	Upgrade limiting terminal equipment at Clinton
5	21SP	KACP	AECI	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1	108.7	3.9%	OVERTON-TRF	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1 AECI	Upgrade limiting terminal equipment at Clinton
5	21SP	KACP	AECI	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1	107.9	4.0%	7OVERTON 345.00 - SIBLEY 345KV CKT 1	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1 AECI	Upgrade limiting terminal equipment at Clinton
5	21SP	KACP	AECI	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1	105.3	3.2%	ADRIAN - ARCHIE 161KV CKT 1	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1 AECI	Upgrade limiting terminal equipment at Clinton
5	21SP	KACP	AECI	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1	103.5	3.2%	LACYGNE - NEOSHO 345KV CKT 1	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1 AECI	Upgrade limiting terminal equipment at Clinton
5	12SP	SUNC	SUNC	FLATRDG3 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	117.0	4.0%	MEDICINE LODGE - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 24.15 mile line
5	12SP	SUNC	SUNC	FLATRDG3 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	116.8	4.0%	PRATT - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 24.15 mile line
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	FLATRDG3 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	144.4	4.0%	MEDICINE LODGE - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 24.15 mile line
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	FLATRDG3 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	144.3	4.0%	PRATT - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 24.15 mile line
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	FLATRDG3 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	136.0	5.8%	SPP-SWPS-05	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 24.15 mile line
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	FLATRDG3 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	119.6	4.2%	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 24.15 mile line
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	FLATRDG3 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	119.0	4.2%	ROSE HILL - WOLF CREEK 345KV CKT 1	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 24.15 mile line
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	FLATRDG3 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	118.7	4.2%	MEDICINE LODGE (MED-LDG3) 115/34.5/2.3KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 24.15 mile line
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	FLATRDG3 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	118.3	4.3%	HOYT - JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER 345KV CKT 1	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 24.15 mile line
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	FLATRDG3 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	115.4	4.2%	BASE CASE	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 24.15 mile line
5	12WP	SUNC	WERE	GREENLEAF - KNOB HILL 115KV CKT 1	105.0	3.0%	ELM CREEK - NORTHWEST MANHATTAN 230KV CKT 1	GREENLEAF - KNOB HILL 115KV CKT 1 MKEC	Rebuild 43.5% Ownership of 20.9 miles
5	12SP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	107.3	4.0%	MEDICINE LODGE - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	CLEARWATER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 MKEC	Rebuild MKEC portion of the Clearwater-Milan tap 115 KV
5	12SP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	107.3	4.0%	MEDICINE LODGE - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #1	Replace wave trap at Harper substation.
5	12SP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	107.3	4.0%	MEDICINE LODGE - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #2	Rebuild 22.1 miles
5	12SP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	107.2	4.0%	PRATT - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	CLEARWATER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 MKEC	Rebuild MKEC portion of the Clearwater-Milan tap 115 KV
5	12SP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	107.2	4.0%	PRATT - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #1	Replace wave trap at Harper substation.
5	12SP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	107.2	4.0%	PRATT - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #2	Rebuild 22.1 miles
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	137.5	4.0%	MEDICINE LODGE - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	CLEARWATER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 MKEC	Rebuild MKEC portion of the Clearwater-Milan tap 115 KV
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	137.4	4.0%	PRATT - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #1	Replace wave trap at Harper substation.
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	137.4	4.0%	PRATT - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #2	Rebuild 22.1 miles
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	127.9	5.8%	SPP-SWPS-05	CLEARWATER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 MKEC	Rebuild MKEC portion of the Clearwater-Milan tap 115 KV
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	127.9	5.8%	SPP-SWPS-05	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #1	Replace wave trap at Harper substation.
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	127.9	5.8%	SPP-SWPS-05	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #2	Rebuild 22.1 miles
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	116.0	4.2%	HARPER (HARPER 4) 138/34.5/8.66KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	CLEARWATER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 MKEC	Rebuild MKEC portion of the Clearwater-Milan tap 115 KV
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	116.0	4.2%	HARPER (HARPER 4) 138/34.5/8.66KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #1	Replace wave trap at Harper substation.
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	116.0	4.2%	HARPER (HARPER 4) 138/34.5/8.66KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #2	Rebuild 22.1 miles
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	107.3	4.2%	BASE CASE	CLEARWATER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 MKEC	Rebuild MKEC portion of the Clearwater-Milan tap 115 KV
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	107.3	4.2%	BASE CASE	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #1	Replace wave trap at Harper substation.
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	107.3	4.2%	BASE CASE	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #2	Rebuild 22.1 miles
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	103.7	4.2%	LACYGNE - STILLWELL 345KV CKT 1	CLEARWATER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 MKEC	Rebuild MKEC portion of the Clearwater-Milan tap 115 KV
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	103.7	4.2%	LACYGNE - STILLWELL 345KV CKT 1	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #1	Replace wave trap at Harper substation.
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1	103.7	4.2%	LACYGNE - STILLWELL 345KV CKT 1	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #2	Rebuild 22.1 miles
5	12WP	MIDW	MIDW	HAYS PLANT - SOUTH HAYS 115KV CKT 1	125.5	8.0%	KNOLL 230 - POSTROCK6 230.00 230KV CKT 1	HAYS PLANT - SOUTH HAYS 115KV CKT 1 #1	Replace Wavetrap
5	12WP	MIDW	MIDW	HAYS PLANT - SOUTH HAYS 115KV CKT 1	125.5	8.0%	KNOLL 230 - POSTROCK6 230.00 230KV CKT 1	HAYS PLANT - SOUTH HAYS 115KV CKT 1 #2	Rebuild
5	12WP	MIDW	MIDW	HAYS PLANT - VINE STREET 115KV CKT 1	108.3	8.0%	KNOLL 230 - POSTROCK6 230.00 230KV CKT 1	HAYS PLANT - VINE STREET 115KV CKT 1	Replace Wavetrap
5	12WP	SUNC	SUNC	MEDICINE LODGE (MED-LDG4) 138/115/2.72KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	107.8	4.2%	GEN539630 1-FLATRDG1 34.500	MEDICINE LODGE (MED-LDG4) 138/115/2.72KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Upgrade transformer
5	12WP	WERE	WERE	MOUNDRIDGE (MOUND10X) 138/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	104.2	5.4%	RENO COUNTY - WICHITA 345KV CKT 1	Priority Project	
5	12WP	MIDW	WERE	SMOKYHL6 230.00 - SUMMIT 230KV CKT 1	101.4	19.7%	SPP-SWPS-05	Priority Project	

Scenario	Season	Area	Monitored Bus with Violation	Post-transfer Voltage (PU)	Outaged Branch Causing Overload	Upgrade Name	Solution
			None				

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)	Estimated Engineering & Construction Cost
	No Direct Assign Project				
Construction Pending Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer					
Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)	Estimated Engineering & Construction Cost
AECI	CLINTON - MONTROSE 161KV CKT 1 AECI	Upgrade limiting terminal equipment at Clinton	6/1/2013	6/1/2014	\$180,000
MKEC	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 24.15 mile line	6/1/2012	6/1/2013	\$6,037,500
MKEC	GREENLEAF - KNOB HILL 115KV CKT 1 MKEC	Rebuild 43.5% Ownership of 20.9 miles	10/1/2012	6/1/2013	\$5,887,242
MIDW	HAYS PLANT - SOUTH HAYS 115KV CKT 1 #1	Replace Wavetrap	10/1/2012	10/1/2012	\$35,000
MIDW	HAYS PLANT - SOUTH HAYS 115KV CKT 1 #2	Rebuild line	10/1/2012	10/1/2014	\$2,500,000
MKEC	CLEARWATER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 MKEC	Rebuild MKEC portion of the Clearwater-Milan tap 115 kv	6/1/2012	6/1/2013	\$5,887,242
MKEC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #1	Replace wave trap at Harper substation.	6/1/2012	6/1/2015	\$3,150,000
MKEC	HARPER - MILAN TAP 138KV CKT 1 #2	Rebuild 22.1 miles	6/1/2012	6/1/2013	\$225,000
MIDW	HAYS PLANT - VINE STREET 115KV CKT 1	Replace Wavetrap	10/1/2012	6/1/2013	\$35,000
MKEC	MEDICINE LODGE (MED-LDG4) 138/115/2.72KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Upgrade transformer	10/1/2012	6/1/2014	\$5,864,617

Priority Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
MKEC	Line - Comanche County - Medicine Lodge 345 kV dbl ckt	Build a new 55 mile double circuit 345 kV line	7/31/2011	1/1/2015
MKEC	Line - Medicine Lodge - Wichita 345 kV dbl ckt MKEC	Build a new 35 mile double circuit 345 kV line with at least 3000 A capacity from the new Medicine Lodge 345 kV substation to the WR interception from the Wichita substation.	7/31/2011	1/1/2015
MKEC	Line - Medicine Lodge - Woodward 345 kV dbl Ckt MKEC	Build a new 28.6 mile dbl ckt 345 kV line with at least 3000 A capacity from the Medicine Lodge sub to the KS/OK state border towards the Woodward District EHV sub. Install the necessary breakers and terminal equipment at the Medicine Lodge sub.	7/31/2011	1/1/2015
MKEC	Line - Spearville - Comanche County 345 kV dbl ckt MKEC	Build a new 27.5 mile double circuit 345 kV line	7/31/2011	1/1/2015
MKEC	XFR - Medicine Lodge 345/138 kV	Install a 400 MVA 345/138 kV transformer at the new 345 kV Medicine Lodge substation.	7/31/2011	1/1/2015
OKGE	Line - Hitchland - Woodward 345 kV dbl ckt OKGE	Build a new 60.5 mile double circuit 345 kV line	7/31/2011	7/1/2014
OKGE	Line - Medicine Lodge - Woodward 345 kV dbl Ckt OKGE	Build a new 79 mile dbl ckt 345 kV line with at least 3000 A capacity from the Woodward District EHV sub to the KS/OK state border towards the Medicine Lodge sub. Upgrade the Woodward District EHV sub with the necessary breakers and terminal equipment.	7/31/2011	1/1/2015
SPS	Line - Hitchland - Woodward 345 kV dbl ckt SPS	Build a new 60.5 mile double circuit 345 kV line	7/31/2011	7/1/2014
SUNC	Line - Spearville - Comanche County 345 kV dbl ckt SUNC	Build a new 27.5 mile double circuit 345 kV line with at least 3000 A capacity from the Spearville substation to the MKEC interception point from the new Comanche County substation.	7/31/2011	1/1/2015
WERE	Line - Medicine Lodge - Wichita 345 kV dbl ckt WERE	Build a new 35 mile double circuit 345 kV line	7/31/2011	1/1/2015