



SPP *Southwest
Power Pool*

***System Impact Study
SPP-2007-009
For Transmission Service
Requested By:
American Electric Power***

From AEPW to AEPW

***For a Reserved Amount Of
150 MW
From 03/05/07
To 03/11/07***

SPP Transmission Planning

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
2. INTRODUCTION	6
3. STUDY METHODOLOGY.....	7
A. DESCRIPTION	7
B. MODEL UPDATES	7
C. TRANSFER ANALYSIS	7
4. STUDY RESULTS	9
5. CONCLUSION.....	9

1. Executive Summary

American Electric Power has requested a system impact study for weekly firm transmission service from AEPW to AEPW. The period of the transaction is from 03/05/2007 to 03/11/2007. The request is for reservation 1234979 for the amount of 150 MW.

The 150 MW transaction from AEPW to AEPW has an impact on the following flowgate with no AFC: PECXFRMUSCLA. To provide the AFC necessary for this transfer, the impact on this flowgate must be relieved.

After studying many scenarios using curtailment of reservations and generation redispatch, there are several feasible scenarios that will relieve the flowgate in question.

2. Introduction

American Electric Power has requested a system impact study for transmission service from AEPW to AEPW.

There is one constrained flowgate that requires relief in order for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgate and the explanation are as follows:

- PECXFRMUSCLA: Pecan 345/161 kV XFR for the loss of Muskogee to Clarksville 345 kV line

3. Study Methodology

A. Description

Southwest Power Pool used Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST) to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. MUST calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units within the Southwest Power Pool Footprint. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

B. Model Updates

The 2006 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

C. Transfer Analysis

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST), specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by MUST is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of request 1234979, one flowgate requires relief. The flowgate and associated amount of relief is as follows:

Table 1

Flowgates	Sensitivity (%)	Duration	Required Relief (MW)
PECXFRMUSCLA	8.0	Mar 5 – Mar 11	12

Table 2 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for the flowgate in question.

Table 2

Source	Sink	PECXFRMUSCLA Sensitivity (%)
Welsh (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	-
Welsh (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	-
TPS (AEPW)	Lieberman (AEPW)	2.5
TPS (AEPW)	Arsenal Hill (AEPW)	2.5
TPS (AEPW)	Pirkey (AEPW)	2.7
TPS (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	2.9
TPS (AEPW)	Commanche (AEPW)	2.7
TPS (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	-
RSS (AEPW)	Arsenal Hill (AEPW)	2.1
RSS (AEPW)	Kiowa (AEPW)	4.7
RSS (AEPW)	Pirkey (AEPW)	2.3
RSS (AEPW)	Knox Lee (AEPW)	2.3
RSS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	2.5
RSS (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	-
Weleetka (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	2.1
Weleetka (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	2.1
Weleetka (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	4.2
Weleetka (AEPW)	TPS (AEPW)	1.8

Table 3 displays the amount of redispatch capacity necessary for each generator pair.

Table 3

Source	Sink	PECXFRMUSCLA Relief MW
Welsh (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	-
Welsh (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	-
TPS (AEPW)	Lieberman (AEPW)	480
TPS (AEPW)	Arsenal Hill (AEPW)	480
TPS (AEPW)	Pirkey (AEPW)	444
TPS (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	414
TPS (AEPW)	Commanche (AEPW)	444
TPS (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	-
RSS (AEPW)	Arsenal Hill (AEPW)	571
RSS (AEPW)	Kiowa (AEPW)	255
RSS (AEPW)	Pirkey (AEPW)	522
RSS (AEPW)	Knox Lee (AEPW)	522
RSS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	480
RSS (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	-
Weleetka (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	571
Weleetka (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	571
Weleetka (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	286
Weleetka (AEPW)	TPS (AEPW)	666

5. Conclusion

Reservation curtailment and generation redispatch options were studied in order to relieve the necessary constraint. The results of this study shows that the constraints on the flowgates in question could be relieved by executing one or more of the options described in the Study Results section of this document. Before the Transmission Provider accepts the reservations, proof of the necessary relief options must be presented to Southwest Power Pool. Noncompliance with this guideline will result in the refusal of the reservation.