



**SPP**

*Southwest  
Power Pool*

***System Impact Study***

***SPP-2006-16***

***For Transmission Service***

***Requested By:***

***American Electric Power***

***From AEPW to AEPW***

***For a Reserved Amount Of***

***200 MW***

***From 03/01/06***

***To 04/01/06***

# ***SPP Transmission Planning***

<b>1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3. STUDY METHODOLOGY.....</b>	<b>5</b>
A. DESCRIPTION .....	5
B. MODEL UPDATES .....	5
C. TRANSFER ANALYSIS .....	5
<b>4. STUDY RESULTS .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5. CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>8</b>

## **1. Executive Summary**

American Electric Power has requested a system impact study for daily firm transmission service from AEPW to AEPW. The period of the transaction is from 03/01/06 to 04/01/06. The request is for reservation 1037904 for the amount of 200 MW.

The 200 MW transaction from AEPW to AEPW has an impact on the following flowgate with no AFC: DANMAGANOFTS, FTSXFR500345, MUSCLAMUSRSS, NWTPATLYDVAL, PITSEMPITSUN, and TUPTUPVALPIT To provide the AFC necessary for this transfer, the impact on these flowgates must be relieved.

After studying many scenarios using curtailment of reservations and generation redispatch, there are several feasible scenarios that will relieve the flowgate(s) in question.

## **2. Introduction**

American Electric Power has requested a system impact study for transmission service from AEPW to AEPW.

There are six constrained flowgates that requires relief in order for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgates and the explanations are as follows:

- DANMAGANOFTS: Dansville to Magazine Rec161 kV line for the loss of Arkansas Nuclear One to Fort Smith 500 kV line.
- FTXFR500345: Fort Smith 500/161 kV XFR for the loss of the Fort Smith 500/345 kV XFR.
- MUSCLAMUSRSS: Muskogee to Clarksville 345 kV line for the loss of the Muskogee to Riverside 345 kV line.
- NWTPATLYDVAL: Northwest Texarkana to Patterson 138 kV line for the loss of Lydia to Valliant 345 kV line.
- PITSEMPITSUN: Pittsburg to Seminole 345 kV line for the loss of the Pittsburg to Sunnyside 345 kV line.
- TUPTUPVALPIT: Tupelo to Tupelo Tap 138 kV line for the loss of the Valliant to Pittsburg 345 kV line.

### **3. Study Methodology**

#### **A. Description**

Southwest Power Pool used Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST) to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. MUST calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units within the Southwest Power Pool Footprint. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

#### **B. Model Updates**

The 2006 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

#### **C. Transfer Analysis**

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST), specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by MUST is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

## 4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of requests 1037904, six flowgates require relief. The flowgates and associated amount of relief is as follows:

**Table 1**

Flowgates	Sensitivity (%)	Duration	Required Relief (MW)
DANMAGANOFTS	3.7	March	6
FTSXFR500345	5.7	March	9
MUSCLAMUSRSS	12.9	March	19
NWTPATLYDVAL	10	March	15
PITSEMPITSUN	12.6	March	19
TUPTUPVALPIT	3.3	March	5

Table 2 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for the flowgates in question.

**Table 2**

Source	Sink	DANMAGANOFTS Sensitivity (%)	FTSXFR500345 Sensitivity (%)	MUSCLAMUSRSS Sensitivity (%)
SWS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	4.3	6.5	7.3
SWS (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	4	6.1	7.4
NES (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	4.6	7.1	19.9
NES (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	4.9	7.5	19.8
RSS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	5.6	8.5	24.4
RSS (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	5.3	8.1	24.5
NES (AEPW)	Knox Lee (AEPW)	5	7.72	19.78

Source	Sink	NWTPATLYDVAL Sensitivity (%)	PITSEMPITSUN Sensitivity (%)	TUPTUPVALPIT Sensitivity (%)
SWS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	15.4	34.3	11.3
SWS (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	17.3	35.5	11.5
NES (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	15.8	19.4	4.6
NES (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	13.8	18.1	4.4
RSS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	14.2	18.5	4.2
RSS (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	16.1	19.7	4.4
NES (AEPW)	Knox Lee (AEPW)	13.8	17.7	4.3

Table 3 displays the amount of redispatch capacity necessary for each generator pair.

**Table 3**

<b>Source</b>	<b>Sink</b>	<b>DANMAGANOFTS Relief Amount (MW)</b>	<b>FTSXFR500345 Relief Amount (MW)</b>	<b>MUSCLAMUSRSS Relief Amount (MW)</b>
SWS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	129	132	265
SWS (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	139	140	261
NES (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	121	120	97
NES (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	113	114	98
RSS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	99	101	79
RSS (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	105	106	79
NES (AEPW)	Knox Lee (AEPW)	111	111	98

<b>Source</b>	<b>Sink</b>	<b>NWTPATLYDVAL Relief Amount (MW)</b>	<b>PITSEMPITSUN Relief Amount (MW)</b>	<b>TUPTUPVALPIT Relief Amount (MW)</b>
SWS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	97	55	44
SWS (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	87	53	43
NES (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	95	97	108
NES (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	109	104	113
RSS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	106	102	118
RSS (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	93	96	113
NES (AEPW)	Knox Lee (AEPW)	109	107	115

## **5. Conclusion**

Reservation curtailment and generation redispatch options were studied in order to relieve the necessary constraint. The results of this study shows that the constraints on the flowgates in question could be relieved by executing one or more of the options described in the Study Results section of this document. Before the Transmission Provider accepts the reservations, proof of the necessary relief options must be presented to Southwest Power Pool. Noncompliance with this guideline will result in the refusal of the reservation.