

Impact Study for Generation Interconnection Request GEN – 2003 – 022

SPP Coordinated Planning (#GEN-2003-022)

July 2004

Summary

ABB performed the following study at the request of the Southwest Power Pool (SPP) for SPP Generation Interconnection request Gen-2003-022. The request for interconnection was placed with SPP in accordance SPP's Open Access Transmission Tariff Attachment V, which covers new generation interconnections on SPP's transmission system.

Pursuant to the tariff, ABB was asked to perform a revised detailed stability analysis of the generation interconnection request to satisfy the System Impact Study Agreement executed by the requesting customer and SPP.



FEASIBILITY AND IMPACT STUDIES FOR GENERATION INTERCONNECTION REQUEST GEN-2003-022

Prepared for: SOUTHWEST POWER POOL



<u>REPORT NO.: Consulting 2004-10887-V03</u> June 29, 2004

Submitted by:

ABB Electric System Consulting 940 Main Campus Drive, Suite 300 Raleigh, NC 27606

ABB Consulting

Technical Report

Southwest Power Pool	<u>No. 2004-10887-V03</u>			
Title: Feasibility and Impact Studies for Generation	Dept.	Date	Pages	
Interconnection Request (GEN-2003-022)	ESC	June 29, 2004	37	

Authors: Lan Trinh, Ravi Varanasi

Reviewer: William Quaintance

Summary

Southwest Power Pool (SPP) has requested feasibility and impact studies on behalf of <Customer> for the purpose of interconnecting a 120MW wind farm (80 GE 1.5 MW wind turbine generators) in the Weatherford, Oklahoma vicinity, within the service territory of American Electric Power (AEPW). The proposed wind farm will be connected to a new switching station to be constructed on the Weatherford Southeast – Clinton Junction 138 kV line and located approximately 3 miles from Weatherford tap. The proposed in-service date is December 2004. The generation developer requested that the feasibility study also be conducted for an alternate interconnection point located approximately 4 miles to the east of the proposed interconnection point described previously.

Power flow analysis indicates that, for the conditions studied, it is possible to interconnect up to 130 MW to either of the proposed locations. There are no significant thermal violations due to the proposed plant, when considering branches with distribution factors above 3% and ignoring pre-existing overloads. Also, there are no new voltage violations caused by the proposed plant.

Based on the results of the feasibility study, the developer and SPP requested that ABB perform the impact study of the wind farm using the primary point of interconnection at a generation level of 120 MW.

Based on the results of the stability analysis, it is concluded that the wind farm at 120 MW does not adversely impact the stability of the SPP system. <u>This new wind farm will trip for most delayed clearing faults within 2 stations of its interconnection point, as well as most normal clearing faults on the lines emanating from the interconnection point. Traditional generating plants do not have this problem. It is recommended that automatic reclosing be disabled on transmission lines adjacent to the wind farm to protect the wind turbine generators from frequent tripping and undue stress from reclosing into faults. In addition, better low-voltage ride-through capability should be considered for the GEN-2003-022 and GEN-2002-005 wind farms, to avoid unnecessary and nuisance tripping of generation following transmission faults.</u>

The results of this analysis are based on available data and assumptions made at the time of conducting this study. If any of the data and/or assumptions made in developing the study model change, the results provided in this report may not apply.

Rev. #	Revision	Date	Author	Reviewed	Approved
DISTRI	BUTION: John E. Mills, South	nwest Power Pool			



LEGAL NOTICE

This document, prepared by ABB Inc., is an account of work sponsored by Southwest Power Pool. Neither Southwest Power Pool nor ABB Inc., nor any person or persons acting on behalf of either party: (i) makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the use of any information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights, or (ii) assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of or for damages resulting form the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this document.



Table of Contents

1	IN	TRODUCTION	1
2	PC	OWER FLOW ANALYSIS	4
	2.1	POWER FLOW ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY	
	2.2	POWER FLOW CASES	
	2.3	Power flow Analysis Results	
3	ST	ABILITY ANALYSIS	8
	3.1	STABILITY ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY	
	3.2	STUDY MODEL	9
	3.3	STABILITY RESULTS	
4	ST	UDY CONCLUSIONS	
A]	PPEN	NDIX A – POWER FLOW SIMULATION SETTINGS	
A	PPEN	NDIX B – COLLECTOR SYSTEM	
A	PPEN	NDIX C - STABILITY MODEL PARAMETERS FOR WIND FARM	
A	PPEN	NDIX D – COMPLETE POWER FLOW RESULTS	
A	PPEN	NDIX $E = STABILITY PLOTS$	31



1 INTRODUCTION

SPP has requested feasibility and impact studies for the purpose of interconnecting a 120MW wind farm (80 GE 1.5 MW wind turbine generators) in the Weatherford, Oklahoma vicinity, within the service territory of American Electric Power (AEPW). The proposed wind farm will be connected to a new switching station to be constructed on the Weatherford Southeast – Clinton Junction 138 kV line and located approximately 3 miles from Weatherford tap. The proposed in-service date is December 2004. The generation developer requested that the feasibility study also be conducted for an alternate interconnection point located approximately 4 miles to the east of the proposed interconnection point described previously. After the feasibility (power flow) study was complete and before the impact (stability) study was begun, the developer requested that the impact study be performed only for the primary interconnection point, and the wind farm capacity was changed from 130 MW to 120 MW.

The objective of the feasibility study is to determine whether there are any steady-state criteria violations associated with the interconnection of the proposed wind farm. The incremental impact of the proposed wind farm under system intact and contingency case conditions would be determined by comparing the transmission system power flows and bus voltages both with and without the proposed wind farm.

As mentioned previously, the feasibility study was performed for both the proposed and the alternate points of interconnection. Based on the results of the feasibility study, the generation developer chose the primary point of interconnection for the system impact study.

The objective of the impact study is to determine the impact on system stability of connecting the 120 MW wind farm to the single chosen interconnection point.







Figure 1. Proposed Interconnection of Wind Farm





Figure 2: Map of the surrounding area



2 POWER FLOW ANALYSIS

2.1 Power flow Analysis Methodology

The Southwest Power Pool (SPP) criteria states that the transmission system of the SPP region shall be planned and constructed so that the contingencies as set forth in the criteria will meet the applicable NERC Planning Standards for System Adequacy and Security of Transmission Systems, Table 1, and its applicable standards and measurements.

PTI's MUST First Contingency Incremental Transfer Capability (FCITC) DC analysis was used to study transmission system loadings under system intact and contingency conditions as power from the proposed wind farm is increased from 0 MW to its maximum capacity. The MUST options chosen to conduct the study can be found in Appendix A.2.

PTI's PSSE ACCC analysis was used to study bus voltages under system intact and contingency conditions both with and without the proposed wind farm. The ACCC options chosen to conduct study can be found in Appendix A.1.

There are several other proposed wind generation additions in the general area of the proposed facility. It was assumed in the analysis that not all of these other projects were in service. Those previously queued projects that have advanced to nearly complete phases were included in this feasibility study (included were Gen-2003-004, Gen-2003-005, and Gen-2002-005). Significant differences in the assumptions used in this feasibility study may require that this study be revisited to determine this facility's impacts on the SPP transmission system.

2.2 Power flow Cases

A power flow analysis was conducted for the facility using modified versions of the 2004 series SPP Planning models. The in-service date of the facility is proposed to be December 2004. The models used in this study were: 2005 Fall Peak, 2005 Summer Peak, 2005 Winter Peak, 2007 Summer Peak, 2007 Winter Peak, 2010 Summer Peak, and a 2010 Winter Peak. This is the extent of the current SPP planning horizon. The wind farm was modeled as a single aggregate unit as seen by the transmission system. Individual wind turbine generators and the wind farm collector system were not explicitly modeled, as this detail is not needed in power flow analysis. The output of the wind farm was offset in each model by a reduction in output of existing online AEPW generation.

2.3 Power flow Analysis Results

Option 1: Point of interconnection on the 138 kV line 3 miles from Weatherford Tap

The summary list of limiting elements from FCITC analysis is shown in Table 1. Full FCITC results are in Appendix D.



Study Case	Incremental Transfer Capability	Limiting Element	TDF	Pre Transfer Loading	Rating	Contingency
05SP	130+	none				
05FA	9.0	55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1	-0.04127	-38.2	38.5	56001 MORWODS4 138 99994 Gen-2002-005 138 1
05FA	45.4	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03679	-24.1	25.8	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
05WP	130+	none				
07SP	58.9	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03477	-23.5	25.6	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
07WP	102.5	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03597	-22.1	25.8	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
10SP	130+	none				
10WP	104.7	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03576	-22.1	25.8	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1

 Table 1. FCITC Thermal Results

The 69 kV lines (55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1) and (55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1) were already overloaded in 2005 summer peak and winter peak base cases, and as such they are considered pre-existing problems.

Buses with voltage violations (above 1.1 pu or below 0.9 pu) in ACCC analysis were compared between the base cases and the corresponding cases with new generation interconnections. The only bus with voltage violations with the new wind farm that did not have violations in the base cases was due to an invalid contingency. All single contingencies in the area of study were automatically simulated. When using this automatic method, sometimes invalid contingencies are simulated. In this case, the 138 kV line from Iodine to Mooreland was tripped and voltage issues were seen at Iodine 138 kV bus due to switching of the Fort Supply 138/69 kV transformer taps. However, there is no 138 kV breaker separating the Iodine to Mooreland 138 kV line from the Iodine to Fort Supply 138 kV line, so both of these lines, the Iodine 138 kV bus, and the Iodine load would actually all trip together. Thus, the Iodine voltage is actually zero for this contingency both with and without the new wind farm. The Fort Supply 138/69 kV transformer is series connected to the Iodine to Fort Supply 138 kV line, and it is tripped as well. So no tap changing would take place. The key voltage to check for this contingency is at the Fort Supply 69 kV bus. This bus voltage stays within the required limits both with and without the new wind farm.



Option 2: Alternative point of interconnection - 4 miles to the east of the primary point of interconnection

The results of the Option 2 power flow analysis are similar to the Option 1 results.

The summary list of limiting elements from FCITC analysis is shown in Table 2. Full FCITC results are in Appendix D.

Study Case	Incremental Transfer Capability	Limiting Element	TDF	Pre Transfer Loading	Rating	Contingency
05SP	130+	none				
05FA	9.8	55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1	-0.03773	-38.2	38.5	56001 MORWODS4 138 99994Gen-2002-005 138 1
05FA	50.6	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03301	-24.1	25.8	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
05WP	130+	none				
07SP	65.8	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03114	-23.5	25.6	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
07WP	112.3	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03234	-22.1	25.8	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
10SP	130+	none				
10WP	116.5	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03214	-22.1	25.8	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1

 Table 2. FCITC Thermal Results – Alternative Interconnection Point

The 69 kV lines (55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1) and (55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1) are already overloaded in the 2005 summer peak and winter peak base cases and as such are considered pre-existing problems.

Buses with voltage violations (above 1.1 pu or below 0.9 pu) in ACCC analysis were compared between the base cases and the corresponding cases with new generation interconnections. The only bus with voltage violations with the new wind farm that did not have violations in the base cases was due to the same invalid contingency as discussed in wind farm location 1 above.

Since this is a preliminary Feasibility Study, not all previously queued projects were assumed to be in service in this Feasibility Study. If any of those projects are constructed, then this Feasibility Study will have to be revised to determine the impacts of this Interconnection Customer's project on transmission facilities. In accordance with FERC and SPP procedures the study cost for restudy shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer.

The costs included in this study do not include any costs associated with Network Resource (deliverability) of the energy to final customers. These costs are determined by separate studies when the Customer requests transmission service through Southwest Power Pool's OASIS. This cost if any will be presented in a subsequent study results.



The costs of interconnecting the facility to the transmission system are listed in Tables 3 and 4. These costs do not include any cost that might be associated with short circuit study results or dynamic stability study results. These costs will be determined when and if a System Impact Study is conducted.

Facility	ESTIMATED COST
	(2004 DOLLARS)
Interconnection Three Breaker Ring Bus	\$2,275,000
Elk City to Elk City (Upgrade already Planned)	\$ O
Morewood Switch to Hammon-Butler Jct.	\$3,452,000
Transmission Line and Line Switches on both ends	
Total	\$5,727,000

Table 3: Network Upgrade Facilities

Table 4: Direct Assignment Facilities

Facility	ESTIMATED COST (2004 DOLLARS)
Interconnection Facilities – Add 138kV bus, breaker, switches, metering, relaying, etc.	*
Customer – 138-34.5 kV Substation facilities.	*
Total	*

Note: * Estimates of cost to be determined by Customer.



3 STABILITY ANALYSIS

In this stability study, ABB investigated the stability of the system for faults in the vicinity of the proposed plant as defined by SPP. The faults involve three-phase and single-phase faults cleared by primary protection, reclosing with the fault still on, and then permanently clearing the fault by backup protection.

3.1 Stability Analysis Methodology

Using Planning Standards approved by NERC, the following stability definition was applied in the Transient Stability Analysis:

"Power system stability is defined as that condition in which the differences of the angular positions of synchronous machine rotors become constant following an aperiodic system disturbance."

Stability analysis was performed using PTI's PSS/E dynamics program V28. GE wind generators were modeled using the latest (June 2004) GE wind turbine model available from PTI, modified with known data for the proposed wind farm.

Disturbances such as three phase and single-phase line faults were simulated for the specified durations, including reclosing, and the synchronous machine rotor angles were monitored to make sure they maintained synchronism following the fault removal.

Single-phase line faults were simulated with the standard method of applying a fault impedance to the positive sequence network to represent the effect of the negative and zero sequence networks on the positive sequence network. The fault impedance was computed to give a positive sequence voltage at the fault location of approximately 60% of pre-fault voltage, which is a typical value.

The ability of the wind generators to stay connected to the grid during the disturbances and during the fault recovery was also monitored. This is primarily determined by their low-voltage ride-through capabilities, or lack thereof, as represented in the models by low-voltage trip settings.



3.2 Study Model

The study model consists of a power flow case and dynamics database, developed as follows.

Power Flow Case

SPP provided a PSS/E power flow case called "05_GEN-2003-022_BASECASE.SAV". This case represents 2005 Summer Peak conditions on the SPP system.

The new Washita – Southwestern Station 138 kV line was added to the power flow case using an IDEV file provided by SPP. The resulting case was used as the base case for this study.

The developer provided a detailed layout of the wind farm collector system and wind turbine generators. While it is not practical to model 80, 1.5 MW generators in power systems stability analysis, the detailed data was used to calculate a single machine equivalent for the 120 MW plant. The detailed plant was modeled in PSS/E power flow, and short-circuit analysis was used to determine the Thevenin equivalent impedance of the wind farm at the low side of the substation transformer. For aesthetic purposes, this impedance was separated into two parts, one an equivalent 0.575/34.5 kV, 140 MVA (80 * 1.75) GSU transformer, and the remainder representing an equivalent 34.5 kV collector system impedance¹.

Appendix B notes there was a Customer provided wind farm one-line diagram provided by SPP and equivalent model data for creation of an accurate study Model.

Because PSS/E's DFIG model was to be used, the Wind farm's 120 MW generators were initially modeled at the GSU high-side bus (34.5 kV), and then the PTI IPLAN program was run to create the GSUs and move the generators to the low side (0.575 kV). The PSS/E DFIG default data were modified as necessary to match available data provided by the developer and the calculated equivalent.

The resulting PSS/E one-line diagram for the 120 MW case is shown below in Figure 3.

Stability Data

SPP provided the stability database in the form of a PSS/E dynamic raw data file "05sp_gen-2003-022_basecase.dyr" as well as IDEV and IPLAN files to compile and link user-written models. The provided files required the use of PSS/E version 28.

The latest PSS/E DFIG dynamic model was used to model the 120 MW wind farm. As mentioned above, the PSS/E DFIG model requires execution of an IPLAN program to create the GSUs and move the generators to the low-side (0.575 kV). This IPLAN program also generates a dynamic data file (*.dyr) for the DFIG machines. The direct dispatch (100.0%) for MW generation and voltage control mode for Mvar generation were used. The dynamic model used for the GEN-2002-024 wind plant (#55787) has been updated to reflect the latest DFIG model available in order to be consistent with the DFIG model used at the Wind farm. The under-voltage and frequency trip settings have been retained.

¹ An example of why this split is purely aesthetic is as follows: If the impedance of all the individual GSU transformers were to change, it would not be sufficient to simply change the impedance of the equivalent GSU transformer. One would need to change the individual GSU impedances in the detailed model and recalculate the Thevenin impedance. Subtracting out the new equivalent GSU impedance would leave a new and different equivalent collector system impedance. The only instance where one can expect the equivalent collector impedance from the substation, which is rarely the case.









It is important to note that the PSS/E DFIG model includes under- and over-voltage and frequency trip relays in the model. The undervoltage settings are the most critical. <u>The developer stated that the generators in the new wind farm will have ride-through capability for voltages below 70% for up to 100</u> <u>msec.</u> This is the current standard ride-through capability available from GE Wind Energy, and the dynamic model was adjusted to reflect this. The following voltage settings were used:

Undervoltage settings (per unit)	Time Delay (seconds)
<u>0.3</u>	<u>0.02</u>
<u>0.7</u>	<u>0.1</u>
<u>0.75</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>0.85</u>	<u>10</u>
Overvoltage settings (per unit)	Time Delay (seconds)
<u>1.1</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>1.15</u>	<u>0.1</u>
<u>1.3</u>	<u>0.02</u>

Table 5. DFIG Voltage Trip Settings

The frequency trip models for the Wind farm were disabled as PSS/E is not capable of calculating accurate frequencies during three-phase bolted fault conditions, and the wind farm would incorrectly trip during the fault.

Dynamic data for the equivalent 120 MW DFIG generator is shown in Appendix C.

Contingencies Tested

Fourteen three-phase and single-phase line faults were simulated on branches connected to Weatherford Junction, Weatherford SE, Clinton Junction, and Elk City 138 kV stations, as well as four faults at the proposed 138 kV wind farm interconnection station, for a total of 18 faults. It is assumed that the wind farm will be connected to the system via a 138 kV, 3-breaker ring bus. Figure 4 shows the fault locations on a one-line diagram of the area. Breaker locations are also shown. All transmission lines were assumed to have reclosing enabled, although reclosing should probably be turned off once the new wind farm comes on line. The complete fault descriptions are included in Table 6.



FAULT	FAULT DESCRIPTION
	1. FAULT ON WEATHERFORD JUNCTION (54152)- JENSEN ROAD (54821),
	138KV LINE, NEAR WEATHERFORD JUNCTION.
FLT1-3PH	a. Apply fault at the Weatherford Junction (54152).
3-phase	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from 54152 to 54821.
Fault	c. Use 3 shot re-closing at 6 cycles, 120 cycles, and 180 cycles for the line in (b) into
	the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 24 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
	2. FAULT ON WEATHERFORD JUNCTION (54152)- JENSEN ROAD (54821),
	138KV LINE, NEAR WEATHERFORD JUNCTION.
FLT2-1PH	a. Apply fault at the Weatherford Junction (54152).
1-phase	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from 54152 to 54821.
Fault	c. Use 3 shot re-closing at 6 cycles, 120 cycles, and 180 cycles for the line in (b) into
	d. Leave fault on for 24 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault
	d. Leave raut on for 24 cycles, then trip the fine in (b) and femove raut.
	3. FAULT ON WEATHERFORD JUNCTION (54152)- WEATHERFORD SE
	(54160), 138KV LINE, NEAR WEATHERFORD JUNCTION.
FLT3-3PH	a. Apply fault at the Weatherford Junction (54152).
3-pnase	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from 55893 to 54160.
rault	c. Use 3 shot re-closing at 6 cycles, 120 cycles, and 180 cycles for the line in (b) into
	d Leave fault on for 24 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault
	d. Leave fault on for 2+ cycles, then trip the fine in (b) and femove fault.
	4. FAULT ON WEATHERFORD JUNCTION (54152)- WEATHERFORD SE (54160) 138KV LINE NEAD WEATHERFORD HINCTION
FI Т/_1 р н	(34100), 130K V LINE, NEAK WEATHERFORD JUNCTION.
1.nhase	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from 55893 to 54160
Fault	c. Use 3 shot re-closing at 6 cycles, 120 cycles, and 180 cycles for the line in (b) into
	the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 24 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
	5 FAULT ON WEATHEREORD HUNCTION SE (54160) - CEN-2003-022 WIND
	5. FACTO (VEATHERFORD 50 (C110) SE (34100) - GEN-2005-022 WIND FARM (99996). NEAR WEATHERFORD SE (54160).
FLT5-3PH	a. Apply fault at the Weatherford SE (54160).
3-phase	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from 54160 to 99996.
Fault	c. Use 1 shot re-closing at 30 cycles for the line in (b) into the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
	6. FAULT ON WEATHERFORD JUNCTION SE (54160) – GEN-2003-022 WIND
	FARM (99996), NEAR WEATHERFORD SE (54160).
FLT6-1PH	a. Apply fault at the Weatherford SE (54160).
1-pnase	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from 54160 to 99996.
rault	c. Use 1 shot re-closing at 30 cycles for the line in (b) into the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.

Table 6: Description of Faults with Wind Farm at 120MW



FAULT	FAULT DESCRIPTION
	7. FAULT ON THE CLINTON JUNCTION (54148) – GEN-2003-022 WIND
FI T7 3DH	FARM (99996), NEAR CLINTON JUNCTION (54148).
3-nhase	a. Apply fault at the Clinton Junction (54148).
Fault	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from 54148 to 99996.
1 duit	c. Use 1 shot re-closing at 30 cycles for the line in (b) into the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
	8. FAULT ON THE CLINTON JUNCTION (54148) – GEN-2003-022 WIND FADM (00000) NEAD CUINTON JUNCTION (54148)
FLT8-1PH	FARM (99990), NEAR CLINTON JUNCTION (54148).
1-phase	a. Apply fault at the Clinton Junction (34148).
Fault	b. Clear fault after 5.5 cycles by femoving the fine in (b) into the fault
	d. Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault
	d. Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the fine in (b) and remove fault.
	9. FAULT ON THE CLINTON JUNCTION (54148) – WFEC WASHITA (56089),
	138 KV LINE, NEAR CLINTON JUNCTION (54148).
FLT9-3PH	a. Apply fault at the Clinton Junction (54148).
3-phase	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from 54148 to 55856.
Fault	c. Use 3 shot re-closing at 6 cycles, 120 cycles, and 180 cycles for the line in (b) into
	d. Leave fault on for 15 evelos, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault
	d. Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the fine in (b) and femove fault.
	10. FAULT ON THE CLINTON JUNCTION (54148) – WFEC WASHITA
ELTIA 1DII	(50089), 138 KV LINE, NEAR CLINTON JUNCTION (54148).
FLIIU-IPH	a. Apply fault at the Clinton Junction (34148).
I-phase Fault	b. Clear fault after 5.5 cycles by removing the fine from 54148 to 55850.
1 duit	the fault
	d. Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
	11. FAULT ON THE CLINTON JUNCTION (54148) – ELK CITY (54121), 138 XX LINE, NEAD CLINTON HINCTION (54148)
FI T11 3DH	A Apply fault at the Clinton Junction (54148).
3-nhasa	a. Appry radit at the Childen Junction (34146).
Fault	c. Use 3 shot re-closing at 6 cycles 120 cycles and 180 cycles for the line in (b) into
1 uuit	the fault
	d. Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
	12. FAULT ON THE CLINTON JUNCTION (54148) – ELK CITY (54121), 138
FI T12 1DU	A poly fault at the Clinton Junction (54148).
1_nhose	a. Appry fault at the Childen Junction (34146).
Fault	$\sigma_{\rm rescaled}$ reaction of the state of t
1 aut	the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault
	a searce rule on for 15 cycles, then the me me m (b) and remove rule.



FAULT	FAULT DESCRIPTION
	13. FAULT ON THE ELK CITY (54121) – MOOREWOOD (55999), 138KV
FLT13-3PH	LINE, NEAR ELK CITY.
3-phase	a. Apply fault at the Eff City (54121).
Fault	b. Clear fault after 5.5 cycles by removing the fine from 54121 to 50021.
	d. Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
	d. Leave radit on for 15 cycles, then trip the fine in (b) and femove radit.
	14. FAULT ON THE ELK CITY (54121) – MOOREWOOD (55999), 138KV LINE, NEAR ELK CITY.
FLT14-1PH	a. Apply fault at the Elk City (54121).
1-phase	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from 54121 to 56021.
Fault	c. Use 2 shot re-closing at 30 cycles and 120 cycles for the line in (b) into the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
	15 FAULT ON THE CEN 2003 022 (00006) CLINTON HUNCTION (54107)
	13. FAULT ON THE GEN-2003-022 (99990) - CLINTON JUNCTION (34197), 138KV LINE GEN-2003-022
FLT15-3PH	a Apply fault at the Gen-2003-022 (99996)
3-phase	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from 99996 to 54197.
Fault	c. Use 1 shot re-closing at 30 cycles for the line in (b) into the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
	1. EALLET ON THE CEN 2002 022 (0000.) OF INTON HINCTION (54107)
	10. FAULT ON THE GEN-2003-022 (99990) - CLINTON JUNCTION (54197), 138KV LINE CEN 2003 022
FLT16-1PH	a Apply fault at the Gen-2003-022 (99996)
1-phase	b Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from 99996 to 54197
Fault	c. Use 1 shot re-closing at 30 cycles for the line in (b) into the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.
	17. FAULT ON THE GEN-2003-022 (99996) – WEATHERFORD JUNCTION (54100), 129EXLUNE, CEN. 2002, 022
FLT17-3PH	(54199), $156KV$ LINE, GEN-2003-022.
3-phase	a. Apply fault at the Och-2003-022 (33330). b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from 00006 to 5/100
Fault	b. Creat fault after 5.5 cycles by removing the line in (h) into the fault $\frac{1}{2}$
	d Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault
	d. Leure fuur on for 15 cycles, then trip the fine in (6) and femore fuurt.
	18. FAULT ON THE GEN-2003-022 (99996) – WEATHERFORD JUNCTION (54199), 138KV LINE, GEN-2003-022.
FLT18-1PH	a. Apply fault at the Gen-2003-022 (99996).
1-phase	b. Clear fault after 3.5 cycles by removing the line from 99996 to 54199.
rault	c. Use 1 shot re-closing at 30 cycles for the line in (b) into the fault.
	d. Leave fault on for 15 cycles, then trip the line in (b) and remove fault.



3.3 STABILITY RESULTS

Results are tabulated in Table 7. As shown, the results indicate that the system is stable following all faults. In addition, the Wind farm trips in almost every simulated fault scenario, due to its undervoltage trip settings (See Table 5) incorporated as per the new information provided by the developer.

The only other issue is undervoltage tripping of the Gen-2002-005 120 MW Wind generator during all 3phase faults and one single-phase fault. It is also observed that this plant trips in the base case without the proposed wind farm. The reason that the Gen-2002-005 Wind generator trips in all fault simulations is that it does not have low-voltage ride-though capability. The Gen-2002-005 Wind dynamic model trips the generator if the voltage goes below 75% for more than 80 msec. If the Gen-2002-005 wind farm is not yet installed, there may still be time to request better low-voltage ride-through capability for its wind turbine generators.

The GEN-2003-022 developer stated that his GE wind turbines will have ride-through capability for voltages below 70% for up to 100 msec. They can also ride through voltages below 75% for 1 second and below 85% for 10 seconds. However, the generators will trip instantaneously for voltages below 30% (PSS/E models a 20 msec time delay). The Wind farm trips instantaneously for all simulated three phase faults due to this instantaneous undervoltage trip setting. In the simulation of three phase faults, the terminal voltage of the Wind farm falls below 30% of rated value, which triggers the instantaneous undervoltage trip setting causing the generator to trip. In all of the single phase faults (except FLT141PH), the terminal voltage of the Wind farm falls slightly less than 70% of its rated value. The dynamic model has an undervoltage trip setting of 100 msec (6 cycles) below 70% voltage, so the plant trips whenever a fault is applied for more than 6 cycles in the single phase fault simulations.

Three-phase faults #1 and #3, which include multiple reclosing events totaling 34.5 cycles (575 msec) of fault time, cause the voltage could go as low as 12% at the wind generator terminals every time a 3-phase fault occurs at Weatherford Junction. Currently the PSS/E model does not consider cumulative low-voltage time caused by multiple reclosings. However, cumulative fault time in a short time span may indeed be important in determining true low-voltage ride-through capability. To study this phenomenon in detail, a three-phase electromagnetic transient study would be needed, along with more information from the wind turbine manufacturer.

Reclosing into 3-phase faults near the plant is also detrimental to the turbine shafts, independent of nominal mechanical speed. The problem is that electrical power and torque are slamming back and forth between approximately 1.0 per unit and 0 per unit, causing mechanical fatigue. If reclosing takes place when shaft oscillations are still persisting, the resultant torques on the shaft may be even more severe. Standard industry practice is to have no fast (<10sec) reclosing on multi-phase faults near generating plants without detailed studies of shaft fatigue duty. Ultimately, ABB recommends no automatic line reclosing at the Wind Farm, Weatherford SE, Weatherford Junction, and Clinton Junction substations to prevent tripping of and/or damage to the wind turbines.

Simulation plots for all fault cases are shown in Appendix E.





Figure 4. System One-line Showing Locations of Simulated Faults



		<u>Generator</u> <u>Tripping</u>		
<u>Fault</u>	Fault Location:	<u>GEN</u> 2003-022	<u>GEN</u> 2002-005	<u>System</u> Stability
FLT1-3PH	Weatherford Junction (54152)- Jensen Road (54821), 138kV line, near Weatherford function.	X	X	Stable
FLT2-1PH	Weatherford Junction (54152)- Jensen Road (54821), 138kV line, near Weatherford function.	X	:	Stable
FLT3-3PH	Weatherford Junction (54152)- Weatherford SE (54160), 138kV line, near Weatherford function.	<u>X</u>	X	Stable
FLT4-1PH	Weatherford Junction (54152)- Weatherford SE (54160), 138kV line, near Weatherford function.	<u>X</u>	=	Stable
FLT5-3PH	Weatherford Junction SE (54160) - Gen-2003-022 Wind farm (99996), near Weatherford SE (54160).	X	<u>X</u>	Stable
FLT6-1PH	Weatherford Junction SE (54160) - Gen-2003-022 Wind farm (99996), near Weatherford SE (54160).	X	_	Stable
FLT7-3PH	Clinton Junction (54148) – Gen-2003-022 Wind farm (99996), near Clinton Junction (54148).	<u>X</u>	X	Stable
<u>FLT8-1PH</u>	Clinton Junction (54148) – Gen-2003-022 Wind farm (99996), near Clinton Junction (54148).	<u>X</u>	-	Stable
FLT9-3PH	Clinton Junction (54148) – WFEC Washita (56089), 138 kV line, near Clinton Junction (54148).	<u>X</u>	X	Stable
FLT10-1PH	Clinton Junction (54148) – WFEC Washita (56089), 138 kV line, near Clinton Junction (54148).	X	-	Stable
FLT11-3PH	Clinton Junction (54148) – Elk City (54121), 138 kV line, near Clinton Junction (54148).	X	X	Stable
FLT12-1PH	Clinton Junction (54148) – Elk City (54121), 138 kV line, near Clinton Junction (54148).	X	-	Stable
FLT13-3PH	Elk City (54121) – Moorewood (55999), 138kV line, near Elk City.	X	X	Stable
FLT14-1PH	Elk City (54121) – Moorewood (55999), 138kV line, near Elk City.	-	X	Stable
FLT15-3PH	Gen-2003-022 (99996) - Clinton Junction (54197), 138kV line, Gen-2003-022.	X	X	Stable
FLT16-1PH	Gen-2003-022 (99996) - Clinton Junction (54197), 138kV line, Gen-2003-022.	X	-	Stable
FLT17-3PH	Gen-2003-022 (99996) – Weatherford Junction (54199), 138kV line, Gen-2003-022.	X	X	Stable
FLT18-1PH	Gen-2003-022 (99996) – Weatherford Junction (54199), 138kV line, Gen-2003-022.	X	-	Stable

Notes: X - Plant tripped on Undervoltage. GEN-2002-005 at Bus 56007 trips for the faults in cases with and without the new wind farm at Weatherford



4 STUDY CONCLUSIONS

The power flow analysis showed that there was no thermal overloading of lines due to proposed plant, when considering only lines with OTDF above 3%. If OTDFs below 3% are considered, a few lines are adversely impacted by the wind farm. The few problems are either pre-existing or have extremely low response to the new power injection from the plant.

There are no new voltage violations due to the new wind farm in the studied cases.

Based on the results of the stability analysis, it is concluded that the Wind farm at 120 MW does not adversely impact the stability of the SPP system. The cost of interconnecting the facility to the transmission system due to dynamic stability results is \$ 0. This cost added with the cost due to the thermal results is \$ 5,727,000. This new Wind farm will trip for most delayed clearing faults within 2 stations of its interconnection point, as well as most normal clearing faults on the lines emanating from the interconnection point. Traditional generating plants do not have this problem. It is recommended that automatic reclosing be disabled on transmission lines adjacent to the wind farm to protect the wind turbine generators from frequent tripping and undue stress from reclosing into faults. In addition, better low-voltage ride-through capability should be considered for the GEN-2003-022 and GEN-2002-005 wind farms, to avoid unnecessary and nuisance tripping of generation following transmission faults.

The results of this analysis are based on available data and assumptions made at the time of conducting this study. If any of the data and/or assumptions made in developing the study model change, the results provided in this report may not apply.



APPENDIX A – POWER FLOW SIMULATION SETTINGS



Appendix A.1 (PSS/E)

PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

BASE CASES:

Solutions - Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution (FDNS)

- 1. Tap adjustment Stepping
- 2. Area interchange control Tie lines only
- 3. Var limits Apply immediately
- 4. Solution options \underline{X} Phase shift adjustment
 - _ Flat start
 - _ Lock DC taps
 - _Lock switched shunts

ACCC CASES:

Solutions – AC contingency checking (ACCC)

- 1. MW mismatch tolerance -0.5
- 2. Contingency case rating Rate B
- 3. Percent of rating -100
- 4. Output code Summary
- 5. Min flow change in overload report 1mw
- 6. Exclude cases w/ no overloads form report YES
- 7. Exclude interfaces from report NO
- 8. Perform voltage limit check YES
- 9. Elements in available capacity table 60000
- 10. Cutoff threshold for available capacity table 99999.0
- 11. Minimum contingency case voltage change for report -0.02
- 12. Sorted output None

Newton Solution:

- 1. Tap adjustment Stepping
- 2. Area interchange control Tie lines only
- 3. Var limits Apply automatically
- 4. Solution options \underline{X} Phase shift adjustment
 - _ Flat start
 - _Lock DC taps
 - _ Lock switched shunts



Appendix A.2 (MUST)

MUST CHOICES IN RUNNING FCITC DC ANALYSIS

CONSTRAINTS/CONTINGENCY INPUT OPTIONS

- 1. AC Mismatch Tolerance 2 MW
- 2. Base Case Rating Rate A
- 3. Base Case % of Rating 100%
- 4. Contingency Case Rating Rate B
- 5. Contingency Case % of Rating 100%
- 6. Base Case Load Flow PSS/E
- 7. Convert branch ratings to estimated MW ratings Yes
- 8. Contingency ID Reporting Labels
- 9. Maximum number of contingencies to process 50000

MUST CALCULATION OPTIONS

- 1. Phase Shifters Model for DC Linear Analysis Constant flow for Base Case and Contingencies
- 2. Report Base Case Violations with FCITC Yes
- 3. Maximum number of violations to report in FCITC table 50000
- 4. Distribution Factor (OTDF and PTDF) Cutoff 0.03
- 5. Maximum times to report the same elements 10
- 6. Apply Distribution Factor to Contingency Analysis Yes
- 7. Apply Distribution Factor to FCITC Reports Yes
- 8. Minimum Contingency Case flow change 1 MW
- 9. Minimum Contingency Case Distribution Factor change -0.0
- 10. Minimum Distribution Factor for Transfer Sensitivity Analysis 0.0



APPENDIX B – COLLECTOR SYSTEM



Customer provided detailed One-Line for creation of model

Substation Main Transformer data:

138-34.5 kV 81/108/135 MVA YG-Delta Buried-YG

Z @ 81MVA base.

Z+ H-X 8.9% H-Y 14.0% X-Y 3.9%

Z0 H-X 7.5% H-Y 10.8% X-Y 3.3%

Collector system Equivalent:

R = 0.009336X = 0.01346

GSU Equivalent:

0.575 – 34.5 kV, 1.750 MVA * 80 = 140 MVA 5.87% impedance @ 140 MVA



APPENDIX C - STABILITY MODEL PARAMETERS FOR WIND FARM



PSS/E Dynamic Data for Equivalent DFIG Generator Modeling 120 MW

PLANT MODELS
REPORT FOR ALL MODELS BUS 90090 [WFD_WIND0.5750] MODELS
THE DFIGPQ6.FOR MODEL, RELEASE # 03, WAS OPDATED ON MARCH 03, 2004
<u>** DFIGPQ ** BUS X NAMEX BASEKV MC CONS STATES VAR ICON</u> 90090 WFD WIND 0.5750 1 222029-222036 82598-82599 15418-15435 6794
RA LA LM R1 L1 H DAMP 0.0071 0.1714 2.9040 0.0050 0.1563 0.5700 0.0000
-SLIP
0.2000
THE CGECN2.FOR MODEL, RELEASE # 03, WAS UPDATED ON MAY 07, 2004
** CGECN2 for DFIGPQ ** BUS X NAMEX BASEKV MC CONS STATES VAR
90090 WFD_WIND 0.5750 1 222037-222057 82600-82607 15436-15442
<u>6795-6798</u>
TFV KPV KIV RC XC TFP KPP
0.1500 20.0000 10.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0500 3.0000
KIP PMX PMN QMX QMN IQMAX TRV
- 0.6000 1.1200 0.0900 0.3000 - 0.4300 1.1100 0.0500
RPMX RPMN T_POWER
KQV VMINCL VMAXCL KVQ
PTI INTERACTIVE POWER SYSTEM SIMULATORPSS/E TUE, JUN 22 2004 16:32 SPP MDWG 04 STABILITY:2005 SIMMER PEAK:S05SP-28 CNL:3-12-04
(C) 2004 SOUTHWEST POWER POOL, INC. (SEE DISCLAIMER BELOW)
CONEC MODELS
REPORT FOR ALL MODELS BUS 90090 [WFD_WIND0.5750] MODELS
*** CALL TWIND1(6799,222058, 0, 15443) ***
THE TWIND1.FOR MODEL, RELEASE # 02, WAS UPDATED ON FEBRUARY 24, 2004
** TWIND1 ** BUS X NAMEX BASEKV MC CONS VARS ICONS
90090 WFD_WIND 0.5750 1 222058-222064 15443-15445 6799-6800
VWB T1G TG MAXG T1R T2R MAXR
12.0009999.000 5.000 30.0009999.0009999.000 30.000
Wind generator Bus # 90090
Wind Generator ID 1



	OR MODEL, RELEAS	SE # 02, WAS U	IPDATED ON	FEBRUARY 24, 20	004	
** TSHAFT for	a machine ** H	BUS X NAME -	-X BASEKV	MC CONS	STATE	VAR
	90090	WFD_WIND	0.5750 1	222065-222069	82608-82609	15446-15448
801-6803						
D12	K12	Tal	p 2 0000	<u>Rq</u>		
1.5000	1.2400	7.8400	3.0000	72.0000		
	Wind Generator Wind Generator	Bus # 90090 ID 1				
THE GEAERO1.F	OR MODEL, RELEAS	<u>SE # 01, WAS D</u>	EVELOPED (ON FEBRUARY 25,	2004	
CON	DF.IGPQ **	BUS X NAME	X BASEK	VMC CONS	STATE	VAR
804-6806	90090	WFD_WIND	0.5750 1	222070-222081	82610-82610	15449-15452
<u></u>	Lambda_Max 20.0000	Lambda_Min 0.0000	<u>PITCH_</u> 27.0000	MAX PITCH_MIN -4.0000	<u>1 Ta</u> 0.0000	
BHO	Padiug	CP PATTO	CANCHD	Dowor Bat		
1.2250	35.2500	72.0000	1200.0	1500.0	<u>1.6670</u>	
THE TGPTCH1.F						
	OR MODEL, RELEA	<u>SE # 02, WAS U</u>	IPDATED ON	FEBRUARY 24, 20	004	
** TGPTCH for	DFIGPQ ** BUS	SE # 02, WAS U X NAMEX	BASEKV MC	FEBRUARY 24, 20 C O N S	004 STATE	VAR
** TGPTCH for CON	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090	SE # 02, WAS U X NAMEX WFD_WIND	BASEKV MC	FEBRUARY 24, 20 C O N S 222082-222091	004 STATE 82611-82613	VAR 15453-15455
** TGPTCH for CON 807-6809	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090	<u>X NAMEX</u> WFD_WIND	BASEKV MC	FEBRUARY 24, 20 C O N S 222082-222091	004 STATE 82611-82613	VAR 15453-15455
** TGPTCH for CON 807-6809 Tp	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090 Kpp	SE # 02, WAS U X NAMEX WFD_WIND Kip	BASEKV MC 0.5750 1 Kpc	FEBRUARY 24, 20 C O N S 222082-222091 Kic	004 STATE 82611-82613	VAR 15453-15455
** TGPTCH for <u>CON</u> <u>807-6809</u> Tp 0.2000 TetaM	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090 Kpp 150.0000 in TetaMax B	<u>X NAMEX</u> <u>WFD_WIND</u> <u>Kip</u> 25.0000 TetaMin RTe	BASEKV MC 0.5750 1 Kpc 3.0000	FEBRUARY 24, 20 <u>C O N S</u> 222082-222091 <u>Kic</u> <u>30.0000</u>	004 STATE 82611-82613	<u>VAR</u> 15453-15455
** TGPTCH for CON 807-6809 Tp 0.2000 TetaM -4.00	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090 <u>Kpp</u> 150.0000 in TetaMax H 00 27.0000 -:	SE # 02, WAS U X NAMEX WFD_WIND Kip 25.0000 RTetaMin RTe 10.0000 10.0	Kpc 3.0000 0000 0.5750	FEBRUARY 24, 20 C O N S 222082-222091 Kic 30.0000 PMX 2000	004 STATE 82611-82613	VAR 15453-15455
** TGPTCH for <u>CON</u> <u>5807-6809</u> <u>Tp</u> 0.2000 <u>TetaM</u> <u>-4.00</u>	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090 Kpp 150.0000 in TetaMax H 00 27.0000 -: Wind Generator Wind Generator	SE # 02, WAS U X NAMEX WFD_WIND Logo RTetaMin RTetaMin RTetaMin RTetaMin RTetaMin L0.0000 Bus # 90090 ID 1	Kpc 3.0000 ttaMax	FEBRUARY 24, 20 <u>C O N S</u> 222082-222091 <u>Kic</u> 30.0000 <u>PMX</u> 9100	004 STATE 82611-82613	<u>VAR</u> 15453-15455
** TGPTCH for <u>CON</u> 807-6809 Tp 0.2000 TetaM -4.00 PTI INTE SPP MDWG 04 S (C) 2004 SOUT	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090 Kpp 150.0000 in TetaMax H 00 27.0000 -: Wind Generator Wind Generator RACTIVE POWER SI TABILITY;2005 ST HWEST POWER POOI	SE # 02, WAS U X NAMEX WFD_WIND Kip 25.0000 RTetaMin RTe 10.0000 10.0 Bus # 90090 ID 1 YSTEM SIMULATC JMMER PEAK;S05 L, INC. (SEE D	PDATED ON BASEKV MC 0.5750 1 Kpc 3.0000 taMax 1 0000 0.9 0000 0.9 0000 0.9 0000 0.9	FEBRUARY 24, 20 C O N S 222082-222091 Kic 30.0000 PMX 9100 TUE, JUN 22 ;3-12-04 BELOW)	<u>STATE</u> 82611-82613 2 2004 16:32	<u>VAR</u> 15453-15455
** TGPTCH for CON 1807-6809 Tp 0.2000 TetaM -4.00 PTI INTE SPP MDWG 04 S (C) 2004 SOUT CONET MODELS	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090 Kpp 150.0000 in TetaMax H 00 27.0000 -: Wind Generator Wind Generator RACTIVE POWER SY TABILITY;2005 SH HWEST POWER POOL	SE # 02, WAS U X NAMEX WFD_WIND Kip 25.0000 RTetaMin RTe 10.0000 Bus # 90090 ID YSTEM SIMULATC JMMER PEAK;S05 J, INC. (SEE D	PDATED ON BASEKV MC 0.5750 1 Kpc 3.0000 staMax 1 0000 0.9 PRPSS/E SP-28.CNL DISCLAIMER	FEBRUARY 24, 20 C O N S 222082-222091 Kic 30.0000 PMX 9100 TUE, JUN 22 3-12-04 BELOW)	<u>STATE</u> 82611-82613 2 2004 16:32	<u>VAR</u> 15453-15455
** TGPTCH for CON 1807-6809 Tp 0.2000 TetaM -4.00 PTI INTE SPP MDWG 04 S (C) 2004 SOUT CONET MODELS REPORT FOR AL	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090 Kpp 150.0000 in TetaMax H 00 27.0000 -: Wind Generator Wind Generator RACTIVE POWER S: TABILITY;2005 SI HWEST POWER POOI	SE # 02, WAS U X NAMEX WFD_WIND Kip 25.0000 RTetaMin RTe 10.0000 Bus # 90090 ID YSTEM SIMULATO JMMER PEAK;S05 J, INC. (SEE D	Kpc 3.0000 ttaMax 0000 ttaMax	FEBRUARY 24, 20 C O N S 222082-222091 Kic 30.0000 PMX 9100 TUE, JUN 22 3-12-04 BELOW) 0090 [WFD_WIND0	<u>STATE</u> 82611-82613 2 2004 16:32 .5750] MODELS	<u>VAR</u> 15453-15455
** TGPTCH for <u>CON</u> 807-6809 <u>Tp</u> 0.2000 TetaM -4.00 PTI INTE SPP MDWG 04 S (C) 2004 SOUT CONET MODELS REPORT FOR AL	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090 Kpp 150.0000 in TetaMax H 00 27.0000 -: Wind Generator Wind Generator Wind Generator RACTIVE POWER SY TABILITY;2005 SI HWEST POWER POOI	SE # 02, WAS U X NAMEX WFD_WIND Kip 25.0000 RTetaMin RTe 10.0000 Bus # 90090 ID ID IXSTEM SIMULATO JMMER PEAK;S05 J, INC. (SEE D	PDATED ON BASEKV MC 0.5750 1 Kpc 3.0000 taMax 1 0000 0.5 tamax 1 BUS 9	FEBRUARY 24, 20 <u>C O N S</u> 222082-222091 <u>Kic</u> 30.0000 PMX 20100 TUE, JUN 22 3-12-04 BELOW) 2090 [WFD_WINDO	<u>STATE</u> 82611-82613 2 2004 16:32 .5750] MODELS	<u>VAR</u> 15453-15455
** TGPTCH for CON 807-6809 Tp 0.2000 TetaM -4.00 PTI INTE SPP MDWG 04 S (C) 2004 SOUT CONET MODELS REPORT FOR AL THE VTGTRP.FL	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090 Kpp 150.0000 in TetaMax H 00 27.0000 -: Wind Generator Wind Generator Wind Generator RACTIVE POWER SY TABILITY;2005 SY HWEST POWER POOD L MODELS X MODEL, RELEASY	SE # 02, WAS U X NAMEX WFD_WIND 25.0000 RTetaMin RTe 10.0000 10.0 Bus # 90090 ID 1 KSTEM SIMULATO JMMER PEAK;SOS L, INC. (SEE D	PPDATED ON BASEKV MC 0.5750 1 Kpc 3.0000 taMax 1 0000 0.9 tamax 1 tamax 1 <	FEBRUARY 24, 20 C O N S 222082-222091 Kic 30.0000 PMX 9100 TUE, JUN 2: :3-12-04 BELOW) 0090 [WFD_WINDO FEBRUARY 24, 200	<u>STATE</u> 82611-82613 2 2004 16:32 .5750] MODELS	<u>VAR</u> 15453-15455
** TGPTCH for CON i807-6809 Tp 0.2000 TetaM -4.00 PTI INTE SPP MDWG 04 S (C) 2004 SOUT CONET MODELS REPORT FOR AL THE VTGTRP.FL	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090 Kpp 150.0000 in TetaMax I 00 27.0000 -: Wind Generator Wind Generator RACTIVE POWER SY TABILITY;2005 SH HWEST POWER POOI L MODELS X MODEL, RELEASH *** CALL VTGTR	SE # 02, WAS U X NAMEX WFD_WIND 25.0000 RTetaMin RTe 10.0000 10.0 Bus # 90090 ID 1 YSTEM SIMULATC JMMER PEAK;S05 G, INC. (SEE D E # 02, WAS UP 20 6810,22209	PPDATED ON BASEKV MC 0.5750 1 Kpc 3.0000 taMax 1 0000 0.5 taMax 1 0000 0.5 SP-28.CNL DISCLAIMER BUS 90 PDATED ON 1 2, 0,	FEBRUARY 24, 20 C O N S 222082-222091 Kic 30.0000 PMX 9100 TUE, JUN 22 3-12-04 BELOW) 0090 [WFD_WIND0 FEBRUARY 24, 200 15456) ***	<u>STATE</u> 82611-82613 2 2004 16:32 .5750] MODELS	<u>VAR</u> 15453-15455
** TGPTCH for CON i807-6809 Tp 0.2000 TetaM -4.00 PTI INTE SPP MDWG 04 S (C) 2004 SOUT CONET MODELS REPORT FOR AL THE VTGTRP.FL	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090 Kpp 150.0000 in TetaMax H 00 27.0000 -: Wind Generator Wind Generator RACTIVE POWER S3 TABILITY;2005 ST HWEST POWER POOI L MODELS X MODEL, RELEASH *** CALL VTGTRH BUS NAME BS 90090 WFD_WIND.	SE # 02, WAS U X NAMEX WFD_WIND 25.0000 RTetaMin RTe 10.0000 10.0 Bus # 90090 ID 1 STEM SIMULATO JMMER PEAK;S05 G, INC. (SEE D 25.0000 E # 02, WAS UP 26.0810,22209 SKV GEN 575	PPDATED ON BASEKV MC 0.5750 1 Kpc 3.0000 stamax 1 0000 0.5 stamax 1 0000 0.5 SP-28.CNL 1 SSP-28.CNL 15.5 SSP-28.CNL 15.5 DISCLAIMER 10 PDATED 0N 12, 0, R BUS NZ 90090 WFD	FEBRUARY 24, 20 C O N S 222082-222091 Kic 30.0000 PMX 9100 TUE, JUN 22 (3-12-04) BELOW) 0090 [WFD_WINDO FEBRUARY 24, 200 15456) *** AME BSKV _WIND.575	<u>STATE</u> 82611-82613 2 2004 16:32 .5750] MODELS	VAR 15453-15455
** TGPTCH for CON 807-6809 Tp 0.2000 TetaM -4.00 PTI INTE SPP MDWG 04 S (C) 2004 SOUT CONET MODELS REPORT FOR AL THE VTGTRP.FL	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090 Kpp 150.0000 in TetaMax I 00 27.0000 -: Wind Generator Wind Generator Wind Generator RACTIVE POWER SY TABILITY;2005 SI HWEST POWER POOI L MODELS X MODEL, RELEASI *** CALL VTGTRI BUS NAME BS 90090 WFD_WIND.S I C O N S 6810-6814	SE # 02, WAS U X NAMEX WFD_WIND Kip 25.0000 RTetaMin RTe 10.0000 10.0 Bus # 90090 ID 1 STEM SIMULATO JMMER PEAK; SOS C, INC. (SEE D SKV GEN 575 C O N S 222092-22209	PPDATED ON BASEKV MC 0.5750 1 Kpc 3.0000 3.0000 0.5750 Cambridge 1 0000 0.5750 SP-28.CNL 0.5750 DISCLAIMER 1 BUS 90 PDATED ON 12, 0, 90090 WFD V A 95 15456	FEBRUARY 24, 20 C O N S 222082-222091 Kic 30.0000 PMX 9100 PME PME PMX 9100 PME 9100 9100 9100 9100 9100 9100 9100 9100 9100 9100 9100 9100 91000 91000 <td><u>STATE</u> 82611-82613 2 2004 16:32 .5750] MODELS</td> <td>VAR 15453-15455</td>	<u>STATE</u> 82611-82613 2 2004 16:32 .5750] MODELS	VAR 15453-15455
** TGPTCH for CON 807-6809 Tp 0.2000 TetaM -4.00 PTI INTE SPP MDWG 04 S (C) 2004 SOUT CONET MODELS REPORT FOR AL THE VTGTRP.FL	DFIGPQ ** BUS 90090 Kpp 150.0000 in TetaMax I 00 27.0000 -: Wind Generator Wind Generator Wind Generator RACTIVE POWER SY TABILITY;2005 SI HWEST POWER POOI L MODELS X MODEL, RELEASI *** CALL VTGTRI BUS NAME BS 90090 WFD_WIND. I C O N S 6810-6814	SE # 02, WAS U X NAMEX WFD_WIND Kip 25.0000 RTetaMin RTe 10.0000 Bus # 90090 ID YSTEM SIMULATC JMMER PEAK;S05 J. INC. (SEE D 26 (6810,22209 SKV GEN CONS 222092-22209 VUD	PPDATED ON BASEKV MC 0.5750 1 Kpc 3.0000 taMax 1 0000 0.5 SP-28.CNL DISCLAIMER BUS 90 PDATED ON 1 12, 0, TR BUS NX 90090 WFD V A 1 15 15456 WIID TH	FEBRUARY 24, 20 C O N S 222082-222091 Kic 30.0000 PMX 2000 TUE, JUN 22 3-12-04 BELOW) 2090 [WFD_WINDO FEBRUARY 24, 200 15456) *** AME BSKV WIND.575 R	<u>STATE</u> 82611-82613 2 2004 16:32 .5750] MODELS 04	<u>VAR</u> 15453-15455



THE VTGTRP.FLX MODEL, RELEASE # 02, WAS UPDATED ON FEBRUARY 24, 2004

*** (CALL VTGTRE	v(6815,	,222096,	0, 154	57) ***
BUS	NAME BS	SKV	GENR BU	IS NAME	BSKV
90090	WFD_WIND.5	575	9009	0 WFD_WIN	D.575
	ICONS	CO	N S	VAR	
	5815-6819	222096-	-222099	15457	
	VLO	VUP	PICKUP	TB	
	0.700	5.000	0.100	0.150	

THE VTGTRP.FLX MODEL, RELEASE # 02, WAS UPDATED ON FEBRUARY 24, 2004

*** CALL VTGTRP(6820,222100, 0, 15458) ***

BUS	NAME	BSKV		GENR	BUS	NAM	E BS	SKV
90090	WFD_WIN	0.575		9(090	WFD_W	IND.5	575
I	CON	5	CON	S	7	/ A R		
6	820-682	4 22	2100-2	22103	15	5458		
	VLO	VU	P	PICK	JP	TB		

VLO	VUP	PICKUP	TB
0.750	5.000	1.000	0.150

THE VTGTRP.FLX MODEL, RELEASE # 02, WAS UPDATED ON FEBRUARY 24, 2004

*** CALL VTGTRP(6825,222104, 0, 15459) ***

BUS	NAME BSKV	GENR BUS	NAME	BSKV
90090	WFD_WIND.575	90090	WFD_WIND	.575

ICONS	СОІ	NS	VAR
6825-6829	222104-2	222107	15459
VLO	VUP	PICKUP	TB
0.850	5.000	10.000	0.150

THE VTGTRP.FLX MODEL, RELEASE # 02, WAS UPDATED ON FEBRUARY 24, 2004

*** CALL VTGTRP(6830,222108, 0, 15460) ***

 BUS
 NAME
 BSKV
 GENR
 BUS
 NAME
 BSKV

 90090
 WFD_WIND.575
 90090
 WFD_WIND.575

ICONS	СO	N S	VAR
6830-6834	222108-	-222111	15460
VLO	VUP	PICKUP	TB
0.000	1.100	1.000	0.150

THE VTGTRP.FLX MODEL, RELEASE # 02, WAS UPDATED ON FEBRUARY 24, 2004

*** CALL VTGTRP(6835,222112, 0, 15461) ***

BUS	NAME	BSKV		GENI	R BUS	NZ	AME	BSKV
90090	WFD_WIN	D.575		9	90090	WFD_	_WIN	D.575
1	CON	S	СO	N S		VAI	ર	
6	835-683	9 22	2112-	-22211	5 1	5461		

VLO	VUP	PICKUP	TB
0.000	1.150	0.100	0.150



THE VTGTRP.FLX MODEL, RELEASE # 02, WAS UPDATED ON FEBRUARY 24, 2004

*	** CALL VTGTR	.P(6840,	222116,	0, 1540	52) ***
	BUS NAME B	SKV	GENR BUS	S NAME	BSKV
90	090 WFD_WIND.	575	90090) WFD_WINI	0.575
	ICONS	СОІ	NS	VAR	
	6840-6844	222116-	222119 1	5462	
	VLO	VUP	PICKUP	TB	
	0.000	1.300	0.020	0.150	



APPENDIX D – COMPLETE POWER FLOW RESULTS



Study	Incremental Transfer			Pre Transfer		
Case	Capability	Limiting Element	TDF	Loading	Rating	Contingency
2005						
05FA	9	55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1	-0.04127	-38.2	38.5	56001 MORWODS4 138 99994 GEN-2002-005 138 1
05FA	45.4	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03679	-24.1	25.8	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
05FA-ALT	9.8	55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1	-0.03773	-38.2	38.5	56001 MORWODS4 138 99994 GEN-2002-005 138 1
05FA-ALT	50.6	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03301	-24.1	25.8	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
05SP	-176.4	55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1	-0.04127	-46.2	38.9	56001 MORWODS4 138 99994 GEN-2002-005 138 1
05SP	-34.6	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03584	-26.3	25.1	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
05SP	52.9	55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1	-0.03101	-37.3	38.9	56000 MORWODS269.0 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
05SP-ALT	-193	55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1	-0.03773	-46.2	38.9	56001 MORWODS4 138 99994 GEN-2002-005 138 1
05SP-ALT	-38.7	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03206	-26.3	25.1	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
05WP-ALT	-152.6	55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1	-0.03773	-44.4	38.7	56001 MORWODS4 138 99994 GEN-2002-005 138 1
05WP-ALT	-119.6	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03212	-29.1	25.3	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
05WP	-139.5	55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1	-0.04128	-44.4	38.7	56001 MORWODS4 138 99994 GEN-2002-005 138 1
05WP	-107.1	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.0359	-29.1	25.3	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
05WP	114.6	55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1	-0.031	-35.1	38.7	56000 MORWODS269.0 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
2007						
07SP	-146.3	55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1	-0.04019	-44.9	39	56001 MORWODS4 138 99994 GEN-2002-005 138 1
07SP	45.6	55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1	-0.03039	-37.6	39	56000 MORWODS269.0 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
07SP	58.9	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03477	-23.5	25.6	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
07SP-ALT	-159.9	55897 ELKCITY269.0 54122 ELKCTY-269.0 1	-0.03678	-44.9	39	56001 MORWODS4 138 99994 GEN-2002-005 138 1
07SP-ALT	65.8	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03114	-23.5	25.6	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
07WP	102.5	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03597	-22.1	25.8	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
07WP-ALT	112.3	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03234	-22.1	25.8	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
2010						
10WP	104.7	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03576	-22.1	25.8	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1
10WP-ALT	116.5	55942 HM-BTTP269.0 56000 MORWODS269.0 1	-0.03214	-22.1	25.8	55999 MOORLND4 138 56001 MORWODS4 138 1


APPENDIX E – STABILITY PLOTS





FILE: FLT13PH.out

 $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{W}}$

POWER TECHNOLOGIES INC.©



FILE: FLT13PH.out

.//w

OLOGIES



FILE: FLT21PH.out





14:51

FILE: FLT21PH.out

 \sim

OLOGIES



14:51

FILE: FLT33PH.out

 \mathbb{A}

POWER FECHNOLOGIES INC.©



14:51

FILE: FLT33PH.out

.//w

OLOGIES



14:51

FILE: FLT41PH.out

 \mathbb{A}

POWER TECHNOLOGIES INC.©



14:51

FILE: FLT41PH.out

 \sim

OLOGIES



14:51

FILE: FLT53PH.out

 $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{W}}$

POWER TECHNOLOGIES INC.©





FILE: FLT53PH.out

 \sim

OLOGIES



FILE: FLT61PH.out

 $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{W}}$

POWER TECHNOLOGIES INC.©



FILE: FLT61PH.out

 \sim

OLOGIES





FILE: FLT73PH.out

 $\downarrow \searrow$

POWER FECHN OLOGIES



FILE: FLT73PH.out

 $\gamma \sim$

OLOGIES





FILE: FLT81PH.out

 \mathbb{W}

POWER TECHNOLOGIES INC.©



FILE: FLT81PH.out

 \sim

OLOGIES



SPEEDS



FILE: FLT93PH.out

 $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{W}}$

POWER FECHNOLOGIES INC.©



FILE: FLT93PH.out

 $-\sqrt{}$

OLOGIES





FILE: FLT101PH.out

+

POWER FECHNOLOGIES



FILE: FLT101PH.out

 \sim

OLOGIES



14:51 SPEEDS 2004



FILE: FLT113PH.out

 \mathbb{W}

POWER TECHNOLOGIES INC.©





 $-\sqrt{}$ OLOGIES



FILE: FLT121PH.out

 \mathbb{N}

POWER FECHNOLOGIES



14:51

GENERATOR

FILE: FLT121PH.out

 $-\sqrt{}$

OLOGIES



14:51 SPEEDS



FILE: FLT133PH.out

+

POWER TECHNOLOGIES INC.©





FILE: FLT133PH.out

 $\sqrt{}$

DLOGIES





FILE: FLT141PH.out

 $-\sqrt{}$

OLOGIES





FILE: FLT141PH.out

 $\sqrt{}$

DLOGIES



14:51 SPEEDS



FILE: FLT153PH.out

 $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{W}}$

POWER FECHN OLOGIES





FILE: FLT153PH.out

 \sim

OLOGIES





FILE: FLT161PH.out

 $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{W}}$

POWER TECHNOLOGIES INC.©





FILE: FLT161PH.out

 \sim

OLOGIES





FILE: FLT173PH.out

 $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{W}}$

POWER TECHNOLOGIES INC.©



GENERATOR



FILE: FLT173PH.out

 \sim

OLOGIES



2004



FILE: FLT181PH.out

 $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{W}}$

POWER TECHNOLOGIES INC.©



14:51 2004

GENERATOR


FILE: FLT181PH.out

 \sim

OLOGIES



14:51